

Asymptotic Enumeration of Tournaments Containing a Specified Digraph

Zhicheng Gao

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Carleton University

Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

`zgao@alfred.carleton.ca`

Brendan D. McKay

Department of Computer Science

Australian National University

Canberra 0200, Australia

`bdm@cs.anu.edu.au`

and

Xiaoji Wang

School of Mathematics

University of New South Wales

Sydney 2052, Australia

`jxw@manta.dsto.defence.gov.au`

May 5, 2000

Abstract

This paper studies the probability that a random tournament with specified score sequence contains a specified subgraph. The exact asymptotic value is found in the case that the scores are not too far from regular and the subgraph is not too large. An n -dimensional saddle-point method is used. As a sample application, we

prove that almost all tournaments with a given score sequence (not too far from regular) have a trivial automorphism group.

1 Introduction

A *tournament* is a digraph such that between every pair of vertices there is exactly one arc. Throughout this paper, we fix the vertex set to be $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let d_j^-, d_j^+ be the in-degree and out-degree of vertex j in a tournament. Define $\delta_j = d_j^+ - d_j^-$ and call $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$ the *excess sequence* of the tournament. Let $\delta = \max\{|\delta_1|, \dots, |\delta_n|\}$.

Let H be a digraph with vertex set V and arc set $A(H)$ such that between every pair of distinct vertices there is at most one arc. We use $d_j^+(H)$ and $d_j^-(H)$ to denote the out-degree and in-degree, respectively, of vertex j in H . Define $\delta_j(H) = d_j^+(H) - d_j^-(H)$, $d_j(H) = d_j^+(H) + d_j^-(H)$, $\delta(H) = \max\{|\delta_1(H)|, \dots, |\delta_n(H)|\}$, and $d(H) = \max\{d_1(H), \dots, d_n(H)\}$.

Let $T(H; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ be the number of tournaments that contain a specified digraph H and have excess sequence $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$. As special cases, we have $T(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$ to denote the number of all tournaments that have excess sequence $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$, and $T(n) = T(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ to denote the number of labelled regular tournaments with n vertices.

Spencer [1] evaluated $T(n)$ to within a factor of $(1 + o(1))^n$ and obtained the estimate

$$T(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) = T(n) \exp\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j^2/n\right)$$

for tournaments close to regular. The asymptotic value of $T(n)$ was obtained much later by McKay [2], who showed that

$$T(n) \sim \left(\frac{2^{n+1}}{n\pi}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{1/2} \quad (n \text{ odd}).$$

Recently, McKay and Wang [3] obtained the asymptotic value of $T(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ for $\delta = o(n^{3/4})$. The following is an immediate consequence of [3, Theorem 4.4].

Theorem 1 *Suppose $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \sim & n^{1/2} \left(\frac{2^{n+1}}{n\pi}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j^2\right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{12n^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j^4 - \frac{1}{4n^4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j^2\right)^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

McKay suggested that a similar argument can be used to obtain asymptotics for $T(H; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$. We carry out this task in this paper. To simplify the analysis, we shall

restrict ourselves in the range $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$ and $d(H) = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon'})$, where ϵ' is any positive constant.

For a given digraph H and a given excess sequence $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (2\delta_j \delta_j(H) - \delta_j^2(H)) + \frac{1}{3n^3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^3 \delta_j(H) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j \delta_j(H), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\beta_2 = -\frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{(j,k) \in A(H)} (\delta_j - \delta_k - \delta_j(H) + \delta_k(H))^2.$$

We shall prove

Theorem 2 *Suppose $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$, $d(H) = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon})$ and $d(H)\delta = o(n)$, where ϵ is any positive constant. Then*

$$T(H; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) / T(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \sim 2^{-m} \exp(m/n + \beta_1 + \beta_2)$$

uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we derive the asymptotic value of an integral. In Section 3, we use Cauchy's Theorem to represent $T(H; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$ as an integral and then apply the saddle point method and the results from Section 2 to obtain Theorem 2. In Section 4, we discuss several consequences of Theorem 2.

2 An Integral

In this section, we approximate the value of an n -dimensional integral we will need later. Define

$$U_n(t) = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid |x_i| \leq t, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$$

Lemma 1 *Let E, F and $0 < \epsilon < 1/20$ be constants and let $A_{jk}(n), B_k(n), C_{jk}(n), D_{jk}(n), \alpha_j(n)$ be real-valued functions.*

Suppose

(i)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (|A_{jk} + A_{kj}|) = O(n^{1/2-3\epsilon})$$

uniformly for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and

(ii) $B_k(n) = O(n^{-4\epsilon})$, $C_{j,k}(n) = O(n^{-4\epsilon})$, $D_{jk}(n) = O(n^{1/2-5\epsilon})$, $\alpha_j(n) = O(n^{-1/2-6\epsilon})$ uniformly for $1 \leq j, k \leq n-1$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) = & \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(n-1) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 - \alpha_j(n))x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} x_j x_k + nE \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} x_k^4\right. \\ & + F \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} x_k^2\right)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} A_{jk}(n)x_j x_k + i n \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} B_k(n)x_k^3 \\ & \left. + i \sum_{j \neq k} C_{jk}(n)x_k^2 x_j + \sum_{j \neq k} D_{jk}(n)x_k^3 x_j + o(1)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Then

$$\int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = n^{1/2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \alpha_j(n)/2 + 3E + F + o(1)\right).$$

Proof. Let I be the above integral and define the linear transformation T_1 :

$$z_j = (1 - \alpha_j(n))^{1/2} x_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

Let V_1 be the image of $U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$ under T_1 . It is clear that V_1 is between $U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon/2})$ and $U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+2\epsilon})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} I = & \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 - \alpha_j(n))^{-1/2} \times \int_{V_1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(n-1) \sum z_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} z_j z_k\right. \\ & + nE \sum z_j^4 + F \left(\sum z_j^2\right)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} A'_{jk}(n)z_j z_k + i n \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} B_k(n)z_k^3 \\ & \left. + i \sum_{j \neq k} C_{jk}(n)z_k^2 z_j + \sum_{j \neq k} D_{jk}(n)z_k^3 z_j + o(1)\right) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where A'_{jk} satisfies the same conditions as A_{jk} .

Next we perform a second linear transformation T_2 to diagonalise the major quadratic terms of the integrand:

$$z_j = y_j - \beta \mu_1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

where $\beta = 1/(\sqrt{n} + 1)$ and $\mu_m = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j^m$ for any m . Let V_2 be the image of V_1 under T_2 . It is easily determined that the determinant of T_2 is \sqrt{n} , and so

$$I = \sqrt{n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 - \alpha_j(n))^{-1/2} \times \int_{V_2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n\mu_2 + nE\mu_4 + F\mu_2^2\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j \neq k} A'_{jk}(n) y_j y_k + i n \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} B_k(n) y_k^3 \\
& + i \sum_{j \neq k} C_{jk}(n) y_k^2 y_j + \sum_{j \neq k} D_{jk}(n) y_k^3 y_j + o(1) \Big) d\mathbf{y},
\end{aligned}$$

The region of integration V_2 is somewhat irregular, but by the same method as used in [2, Theorem 2.1], we can see that it can be replaced by $U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$ with negligible change of value.

Finally we use an average technique introduced in [4, Lemma 3] to show that some unsymmetrical terms are negligible. Let $f_0(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}n\mu_2 + nE\mu_4 + F\mu_2^2$ and let $f(\mathbf{y})$ be the integrand of the previous integral.

For $1 \leq m \leq n$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_m(\mathbf{y}) = \exp \Big(& f_0(\mathbf{y}) + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} A'_{jk}(n) y_j y_k + i n \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} B_k(n) y_k^3 \\
& + i \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} C_{jk}(n) y_k^2 y_j + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} D_{jk}(n) y_k^3 y_j \Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A'_{jj}(n)$, $C_{jj}(n)$ and $D_{jj}(n)$ are interpreted as zero for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then

$$\psi_1(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}) \exp(o(1)), \quad \psi_n(\mathbf{y}) = \exp(f_0(\mathbf{y})),$$

and

$$\psi_m(\mathbf{y}) = \psi_{m+1}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(Z),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
Z = & \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} (A'_{jm}(n) + A'_{mj}(n)) y_j y_m + i n B_m(n) y_m^3 \\
& + i \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} C_{mk} y_k^2 y_m + \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} D_{jm} y_m^3 y_j + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} D_{mk} y_m y_k^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\bar{\psi}_m(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} (\psi_m(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_m(y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, -y_m, y_{m+1}, \dots, y_{n-1})).$$

Since $U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$ is symmetric about the origin, we have

$$\int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} \bar{\psi}_m(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} \psi_m(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

Using

$$(e^Z + e^{-Z})/2 = \exp(O(Z^2))$$

we obtain, for $\mathbf{y} \in U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$, that

$$\bar{\psi}_m(\mathbf{y}) = \psi_{m+1}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(O(n^{-1-2\epsilon}))$$

uniformly over m , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} \psi_m(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} - \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} \psi_{m+1}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right| \\ = \exp(O(n^{-1-2\epsilon})) \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} |\psi_{m+1}(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same argument to $|\psi_m(\mathbf{y})|$, we obtain

$$\int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} |\psi_m(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} = \exp(O(n^{-1-2\epsilon})) \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} |\psi_{m+1}(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} |\psi_m(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} = \exp(O(n^{-2\epsilon})) \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} |\psi_n(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y},$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} \psi_1(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} - \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} \psi_n(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right| \\ = \exp(O(n^{-2\epsilon})) \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} |\psi_n(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these results together, we find that

$$I \sim \sqrt{n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 - \alpha_j(n))^{-1/2} \times \int_{U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})} f_0(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

which is covered by [3, Theorem 2.1]. This gives the desired result on noting that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 - \alpha_j(n))^{-1/2} \sim \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \alpha_j\right). \quad \blacksquare$$

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Throughout this section, we assume $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$, $d(H) = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon'})$, $d(H)\delta = o(n)$, and that $\epsilon' < 1/100$ is a positive constant.

For a given digraph H , define $\chi_{jk} = 1$ if $(j, k) \in A(H)$, and $\chi_{jk} = 0$ otherwise. Also define $\gamma_j = \delta_j - \delta_j(H)$. Let

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (x_j^{-1}x_k + x_jx_k^{-1}) \prod_{(j,k) \in A(H)} \frac{x_jx_k^{-1}}{x_jx_k^{-1} + x_j^{-1}x_k}.$$

Then $T(H; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ is the coefficient of $x_1^{\delta_1} \dots x_n^{\delta_n}$ in $G(\mathbf{x})$. Setting $x_j = r_j \exp(i\theta_j)$, we have by Cauchy's Theorem that

$$T(H; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) = (2\pi)^{-n} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} r_j^{-\delta_j} \int_{U_n(\pi)} G(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) \exp\left(-i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j \theta_j\right) d\boldsymbol{\theta}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jk}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{r_j^2 \exp(i(\theta_j - \theta_k)) + r_k^2 \exp(i(\theta_k - \theta_j))}{r_j^2 + r_k^2}, \\ g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \exp\left(-i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\delta_j \theta_j)\right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} T_{jk}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{(j,k) \in A(H)} e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_k)} / T_{jk}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I = \int_{U_n(\pi/2)} g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta}. \quad (2)$$

Since $g(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is invariant under the translation of any θ_j by π , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(H; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) &= \pi^{-n} I \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} r_j^{-\delta_j} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (r_j/r_k + r_k/r_j) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{(j,k) \in A(H)} r_j^2 / (r_j^2 + r_k^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since the integrand is invariant under a uniform translation of θ_j by θ_n , and $\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j = 0$, we have

$$I = \pi \int_{U_{n-1}(\pi/2)} g(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, 0) d\boldsymbol{\theta}',$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}' = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$. For a positive constant ϵ satisfying $\epsilon < \epsilon'/6$, let I_1 be the contribution to I from $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$. As in [3], we first estimate I_1 and then show

that $I_1 \sim I$. In the following analysis, we shall assume $\theta' \in U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$ and $\theta_n = 0$. To apply the saddle point method, it is convenient to choose $r_j = \sqrt{(1+b_j)/(1-b_j)}$, where

$$b_j = \gamma_j/n + d_j(H)\gamma_j/n^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n (\chi_{jk} + \chi_{kj})\gamma_k/n^2 + \gamma_j \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k^2/n^4. \quad (4)$$

It is important to note that $b_j = \gamma_j/n + o(1/n) = o(n^{-1/3})$ and $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} b_j = 0$. Let

$$a_{jk} = (r_j^2 - r_k^2)/(r_j^2 + r_k^2) = (b_j - b_k)/(1 - b_j b_k). \quad (5)$$

Using Taylor expansion, we have, for $\theta' \in U_{n-1}(n^{-1/2+\epsilon})$, that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jk}(\theta) &= \exp\left(ia_{jk}(\theta_j - \theta_k) + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{jk}^2\right)(\theta_j - \theta_k)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{3}a_{jk}i(\theta_j - \theta_k)^3 - \frac{1}{12}(\theta_j - \theta_k)^4 + O(n^{-2-\epsilon})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Noting that $\sum_{k \geq 1} (\chi_{jk} + \chi_{kj}) = d_j(H) = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon'})$ and expanding the powers of $\theta_j - \theta_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta) &= \exp\left(i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{jk} - \delta_j + \delta_j(H) - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\chi_{jk} + \chi_{kj})a_{jk} \right) \theta_j \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(n-1) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{jk}^2/2 + d_j(H)/2 \right) \theta_j^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} \theta_j \theta_k + \sum_{j \neq k} (-a_{jk}^2/2 - \chi_{jk}(1 - a_{jk}^2)) \theta_j \theta_k \\ &\quad + i n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} O(n^{-1/3}) \theta_j^3 + i \sum_{j \neq k} O(n^{-1/3}) \theta_j \theta_k^2 \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{12} n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \theta_j^4 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \theta_j^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} \theta_j \theta_k^3 + o(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4), (5) and the comment after (4), we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{jk} = \gamma_j + d_j(H)\gamma_j/n - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\chi_{jk} + \chi_{kj})\gamma_k/n + o(n^{-2/3})$$

and

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\chi_{jk} + \chi_{kj})a_{jk} = d_j(H)\gamma_j/n - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\chi_{jk} + \chi_{kj})\gamma_k/n + o(n^{-2/3}),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(n-1) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{jk}^2/2 + d_j(H)/2\right) \theta_j^2\right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} \theta_j \theta_k + \sum_{j \neq k} (-a_{jk}^2/2 - \chi_{jk}(1 - a_{jk}^2)) \theta_j \theta_k \\
&\quad + i n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} O(n^{-1/3}) \theta_j^3 + i \sum_{j \neq k} O(n^{-1/3}) \theta_j \theta_k^2 \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{12} n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \theta_j^4 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \theta_j^2\right)^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} \theta_j \theta_k^3 + o(1)\right). \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 and using

$$\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} a_{jk}^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2 + o(1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} d_j(H) = \frac{m}{n} + o(1),$$

we obtain

$$I_1 \sim \pi n^{1/2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \exp\left(m/n + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2/n^2 - 1/2\right). \quad (8)$$

The proof of the fact that the contribution to I from the region other than that of I_1 is negligible is essentially the same as that of [3] and will be omitted.

Using (4) and (5) with some calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (r_j/r_k + r_k/r_j) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} r_j^{-\delta_j} \\
&= 2^{n(n-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2 - \frac{1}{12n^3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^4 - \frac{1}{4n^4} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2\right)^2\right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{(j,k) \in A(H)} (\delta_j(H)\gamma_k + \delta_k(H)\gamma_j) \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2(H) - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} d_j(H)\delta_j(H)\gamma_j + o(1)\right) \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{(j,k) \in A(H)} r_j^2 / (r_j^2 + r_k^2) \\
&= 2^{-m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j(H) \gamma_j + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} d_j(H) \delta_j(H) \gamma_j \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3n^3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j(H) \delta_j^3 + \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j(H) \delta_j \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2 \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{(j,k) \in A(H)} ((\gamma_j - \gamma_k)^2 / 2 + \delta_j(H) \gamma_k + \delta_k(H) \gamma_j) + o(1) \right). \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Now Theorem 2 follows from (3) and (8)–(10).

4 Consequences

From Theorem 2, we see that $T(H, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$ usually depends on the structure of the digraph H . However, it can have much simpler form in some special cases. Noting that

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (2\delta_j(H) \delta_j - \delta_j^2(H)) + o(1), \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{(j,k) \in A(H)} (\delta_j - \delta_k)^2 + o(1)$$

when

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\delta_j(H)| = O(n),$$

we obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 1 *Suppose $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$, $d(H) = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon})$, $d(H)\delta = o(n)$ and $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\delta_j(H)| = O(n)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
T(H; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) / T(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) &\sim 2^{-m} \exp \left(\frac{m}{n} + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (2\delta_j(H) \delta_j - \delta_j^2(H)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{(j,k) \in A(H)} (\delta_j - \delta_k)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2 *Suppose $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$, $m = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon})$, and $\delta(H)\delta = o(n)$. Then*

$$T(H; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) / T(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \sim 2^{-m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j(H) \delta_j \right).$$

In particular,

$$T(H; \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) / T(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \sim 2^{-m}$$

uniformly for all $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$ and $m = O(n^{1/3})$.

For regular tournaments, we have

Corollary 3 *Let $T_n(H)$ be the number of regular tournaments with n vertices containing the digraph H . Suppose $d(H) = O(n^{1/2-\epsilon})$. Then, for odd n ,*

$$T_n(H) \sim \left(\frac{2^{n+1}}{n\pi}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m \\ \times \exp\left(\frac{m}{n} - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_j^2(H) - \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{(j,k) \in A(H)} (\delta_j(H) - \delta_k(H))^2\right).$$

A simple application of Theorem 2 is the unsurprising fact that very few tournaments with $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$ have nontrivial automorphisms. This allows us to estimate the number of isomorphism types.

Corollary 4 *Suppose $\delta = o(n^{2/3})$. Then the number of unlabelled tournaments with excess sequence $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$ is asymptotically $T(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)/n!$.*

Proof. Consider a random (labelled) tournament T with excess sequence $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$. It suffices to prove that the expected number of automorphisms of T is asymptotically 1.

We know that $|\text{Aut}(T)|$ is odd, because T is a tournament. Let g be a non-trivial permutation of V of odd order. Define $S = S(g)$ to be the set of vertices moved by g , and let $k = |S|$.

Consider the set E of pairs of distinct vertices defined by

$$E = \{ \{i, j\}, \{i^g, j^g\} \mid i \in S, 1 \leq j - i \leq 12 \lceil \ln n \rceil \pmod{n} \}.$$

It is easy to see that E (considered as an undirected graph) has maximum degree at most $48 \lceil \ln n \rceil$, and that $|E| = m$ for $6k \lceil \ln n \rceil \leq m \leq 48k \lceil \ln n \rceil$. Define a simple undirected graph $G = G(E, g)$ whose vertices are the elements of E and whose edges are the pairs $\{e, e^g\}$ for which both e and e^g are in E . From the definition of E , G has at most $m/2$ components.

Now consider digraphs H which are orientations of E . Within each component of G , there are only two orientations that are consistent with g being an automorphism of T , and so there are at most $2^{m/2}$ possibilities for H with that consistency. From Theorem 2,

we have that each such H is a subgraph of T with probability less than $2^{-m} \exp(mn^{-1/3})$ for sufficiently large n . Consequently, the probability that g is an automorphism of T is at most

$$2^{-m/2} \exp(mn^{-1/3}) \leq n^{-2k}$$

for large n .

There are less than n^k permutations of V that move exactly k vertices, so the total expected number of nontrivial automorphisms of T is asymptotically at most

$$\sum_{k=3}^n n^{-k} = O(n^{-3}) = o(1).$$

This completes the proof. Note that the bound $O(n^{-3})$ is much larger than the real value; we have been content to find a bound tending to 0. ■

References

- [1] J. H. Spencer, Random regular tournaments, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **5** (1974), 105–120.
- [2] B. D. McKay, The asymptotic number of regular tournaments, eulerian digraphs and eulerian oriented graphs, *Combinatorica*, **10** (1990), 367–377.
- [3] B. D. McKay and X. Wang, Asymptotic enumeration of tournaments with a given score sequence, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **73** (1996) 77–90.
- [4] B. D. McKay and N. C. Wormald, Asymptotic enumeration by degree sequence of graphs of high degree, *Europ. J. Combin.*, **11** (1990) 565–580.