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Abstract

The discipline of systems engineering is often misunderstood by those who are not familiar with it. One of the main sources of this confusion is the ambiguity of the word ‘system’. What defines a system? What is considered to be part of the system? When designing an engineering system it is important to be able to confidently define the boundaries of that system to enable clear communication of the scope and purpose of the design project. In this paper, the design problem of a silent alarm system for people with total hearing impairment in a workshop environment is used to demonstrate methods for defining system boundaries and purpose. A statement of goals and a system boundary chart are constructed for the silent alarm system and are used to discuss the merits of systems engineering methods in system definition.

Background Theory

In the preliminary design of a systems engineering project, one of the first steps is to define the engineering problem. Before any design can begin, it is necessary to know what the problem is, and to justify why it is an important problem. According to the International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE), problem definition may be in the form of a mission statement, a concept of operations or simply a description of the problem that the system must solve (INCOSE, 2006). Herrmann (2001) used a statement of goals to define the task of creating an information security program. A statement of goals is a list of problems that need to be solved or changes that need to be achieved, along with an appropriate justification for each. For example, one of the goals of the information security program was to ‘Protect the privacy and integrity of customer records’ and one of the justifications for this was that ‘Customer loyalty depends on sound business ethics’ (Herrmann, 2001). Whilst designing solutions to the engineering problem, each design can be compared to the statement of goals to check that it addresses each problem in the statement.

An appropriate problem definition statement leads the systems engineer to the next important step in preliminary design; defining the boundaries and scope of the engineering system. Defining the bounds of a system may seem trivial, but it is often difficult to identify what to include in a system
and what is a part of the surrounding environment (Kossiakoff et al., 2003). Edwards (1990) explains that ‘Drawing the boundary correctly is crucial to the design and implementation of effective systems – to solve a systems problem we must know what the system is.’ As Edwards notes, complex systems tend to be much larger than originally thought, ‘previously unconsidered factors may have considerable impact on the behavior of the system.’ Hence one of the fundamental questions systems engineers must ask is ‘What factors will affect the system’s behavior?’

An appropriate tool for identifying the factors which influence a system is a system boundary chart. A system boundary chart defines the scope of the system by listing the factors and variables which are considered to be internal to the system, those which are considered to be external to the system, and those which are not considered at all (Sterman, 2000). These three categories are often labeled ‘endogenous’, ‘exogenous’ and ‘excluded’. For example, a car drives on a network of roads and has its fuel tank filled at a service station. The car is dependent on and affected by the roads and the service stations, but an engineer cannot change the nature of the roads and the service stations to suit their car design. Hence the nature of the roads and the service stations would be considered external variables, whilst the type of tyres the engineer chooses (which must suit the road type) and the shape of the fuel inlet (which must be made compatible with service station fuel pumps) would be considered internal variables. It is important to categorise the variables appropriately, because as Kossiakoff et al. (2003) explains, ‘Many systems have failed due to miscalculations and assumptions about what is internal and what is external’.

**Application and Discussion of the Theory**

The engineering problem under investigation in this research paper is a silent alarm system for people with hearing impairment in a workshop environment. The silent alarm system needs to warn the user of various dangers in the workplace, for example a reversing vehicle, a fire alarm, or alarms associated with power tools and machinery. The statement of goals below (Table 1) addresses three key purposes of the silent alarm system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The system must detect alarms in the workshop and alert the user in a timely fashion.</td>
<td>The safety of the user is of utmost importance. If the user is unaware of a reversing vehicle, dangerous machinery, or a fire danger they may be at risk of injury or death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system must not impede the user from performing their duties.</td>
<td>There is little point in solving the problem of the user not being able to hear alarms and at the same time introduce problems created by the silent alarm device itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The system must be applicable to People with total or partial hearing impairments often have difficulty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Statement of Goals
various workshop environments and various alarms/warnings. 

A successful silent alarm system will address this issue and help to improve the job prospects of those with hearing impairments.

The first and most basic goal states the fundamental purpose of the system, to detect dangers in the workplace and to alert the user accordingly. It may seem trivial to state this goal, but it is necessary to think about why it is an important goal to achieve. Whilst creating the silent alarm system the design must be continually assessed to ensure that original purpose has been preserved. The second goal is slightly less intuitive, as it addresses something the system must not do. It is the purpose of the silent alarm system to allow the user to operate at a higher level in the workplace than they currently do, so if the user can no longer perform their duties due to the silent alarm system, then the system is not achieving what it needs to achieve. The third goal broadens the scope of the project considerably, and prior to the construction of the statement of goals it had not been considered by the project group. This goal in particular has helped to develop the concept and design of the silent alarm system. The system design boundary chart below (Table 2) lists the variables which will affect the ability of the silent alarm system to achieve the goals from Table 1.

Table 2: System Design Boundary Chart for the Silent Alarm Design Problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endogenous</th>
<th>Exogenous</th>
<th>Excluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System design affected by variable</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable affected by system design</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of alarms/warnings that can be detected by the system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hearing impaired workers in the workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarms/warnings outside of the workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The range that the system can detect alarms/warnings over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of the workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The effect of temperature/climate on the functionality of the system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The method of alarm/warning detection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of hearing impairment of the user</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarm alternatives for vision impaired workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The method of user notification by the system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of the alarms/warning that must be detected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unforeseen/unpredictable emergencies (eg. natural disasters or medical emergencies)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of power/energy for the system (if required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothing/uniform/safety equipment worn by the user</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The endogenous variables listed for the silent alarm system in Table 2 describe the capabilities and the utility of the silent alarm system. For example, one of the endogenous variables is the method the system will use to detect alarms and warnings in the workplace. The warning detection method for the silent alarm system is an integral part of how the system will operate, so it is important to make an informed and considered choice for this variable. The source of power for the silent alarm system is a variable that had not been previously considered by the project group. Since the construction of the system boundary chart, more thought has been put into this particular variable and the design issues it presents.

Exogenous variables affect the operation and design of the system, but cannot be changed to suit the system. An example of an exogenous variable for the silent alarm system is the level of hearing impairment of the user. It affects how the system might operate because different users will require differing levels of aid and different warning methods. In designing the silent alarm, it will be important to consider the needs of workers with varying levels of impairment. Previous to constructing the system boundary chart, the project group had not chosen the method by which the user should wear the silent alarm device. The clothing worn by the user was determined to be an exogenous variable (outside of our control) so it was decided that the device should be a versatile wristband/armband that can be worn by workers with short sleeves, long sleeves, no sleeves or gloves. By designing it in this way, the silent alarm device will be appropriate for workers regardless of their uniform.

Excluded variables are listed to aid in defining the scope and boundaries of the system. In order to create an efficient and well-defined system, it is important to not only know what is accounted for in the system, but also what is not accounted for. Systems engineering involves investigating and considering every aspect of the system, including its limitations, and the excluded column of the system boundary chart addresses this. One of the excluded variables for the silent alarm is the detection of alarms or warnings that might occur outside of the workplace, for example in a shopping centre or in the user’s home. It is important that this variable is acknowledged because it assists in drawing a boundary around the scope of the engineering problem. Once the original goals of the silent alarm have been achieved the system can then be improved and developed, and the excluded variables provide a starting point for this. For the silent alarm design problem, a future improvement might be to create a universal alarm system that is compatible with fire alarms and other emergency warnings outside of the workplace.

**Further Work and Conclusion**

System boundary definition is part of a systems engineering stage known as preliminary system design (PSD). PSD has two distinct theories; system boundaries and system interfaces. The system definition techniques and system boundary charts discussed in this report are part of the system
boundaries theory. During the next stage (system interface), a Functional Block Diagram (FBD) can be constructed. A FBD is a chart in which the system boundary is drawn around each of its subsystems, the components of each subsystem are drawn and the interconnections between them are displayed. When creating FBD it is crucial to know exactly where the boundaries of the system are and what factors will be influencing the system, so the system boundary chart is fundamental step in PSD. Figure 1 shows how these preliminary design ideas fit into the system engineering process.

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 1: A diagram of how the system boundary chart and FBD fit into the systems engineering process*

In this report the importance of a statement of goals and a system boundary chart in defining the purpose and boundaries of a system were demonstrated. The structured thought process used to construct the goal statement and system boundary variables led to the consideration of wider range of factors than were initially considered for the silent alarm system. The design of the silent alarm system will benefit significantly from this process.
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