Abstract

Recent work in salient object detection has considered the incorporation of depth cues from RGB-D images. In most cases, depth contrast is used as the main feature. However, areas of high contrast in background regions cause false positives for such methods, as the background frequently contains regions that are highly variable in depth. Here, we propose a novel RGB-D saliency feature. Local Background Enclosure (LBE) captures the spread of angular directions which are background with respect to the candidate region and the object that it is part of. We show that our feature improves over state-of-the-art RGB-D saliency approaches as well as RGB methods on the RGBD1000 and NJUDS2000 datasets.

1. Introduction

Visual attention refers to the ability of the human visual system to rapidly identify scene components that stand out, or are salient, with respect to their surroundings. Early work on computing saliency aimed to model and predict human gaze on images [12]. Recently the field has expanded to include the detection of entire salient regions or objects [1,3]. These techniques have many computer vision applications, including compression [10], visual tracking [19], and image retargeting [18].

The saliency of a region is usually obtained by measuring contrast at a local [12] and/or global scale [7]. The majority of previous approaches compute contrast with respect to appearance-based features such as colour, texture, and intensity edges [6][13]. However, recent advances in 3D data acquisition techniques have motivated the adoption of structural features, improving discrimination between different objects with similar appearance.

RGB-D saliency methods typically incorporate depth directly, or use depth in a contrast measurement framework [11][15][22][24], where contrast is computed as the difference between the means or distributions of foreground and background depth. Use of depth contrast in conjunction with colour contrast, various priors, and refinement schemes produces state-of-the-art results [23]. However, depth contrast is prone to false positives from background regions with large depth difference. Figure 1 shows an example in which the foreground has relatively low contrast, making it challenging to detect using existing depth features. Contrast in background regions is unavoidable, and in general contrast in depth scenes can be dependent on random factors such as object placement and viewpoint. Although Ju et al. [15] has started to investigate depth contrast for whole object structures, false positives still appear due to nearby regions with large depth difference as shown in Figure 1.

Aiming to address this issue, we propose the Local Background Enclosure (LBE) feature, which directly measures salient structure from depth. We note that salient objects tend to be characterised by being locally in front of surrounding regions, and the distance between an object and the background is not as important as the fact that the background surrounds the object for a large proportion of its boundary. The existence of background in a large spread of angular directions around the object implies pop-out structure and thus high saliency. Conversely, background regions are less likely to exhibit pop-out structure. Thus we pro-
pose a depth saliency feature that incorporates two components. The first, which is proportional to saliency, is the angular density of background around a region, encoding the idea that a salient object is in front of most of its surroundings. The second feature component, which is inversely proportional to saliency, is the size of the largest angular region containing only foreground, since a large value implies significant foreground structure surrounding the object. This is the first time angular distributions of background directions have been explicitly incorporated for depth saliency. This feature is shown to be more robust than existing depth contrast-based measures. Further, we validate the proposed depth feature in a saliency system. We demonstrate that our depth feature out-performs state-of-the-art methods when combined with a depth prior, spatial prior, background prior, and Grabcut refinement.

2. Related Work

RGB-D saliency computation is a rapidly growing field, offering object detection and attention prediction in a manner that is robust to appearance. Early works use depth as a prior to reweight 2D saliency maps [4, 15, 27]. These approaches do not consider relative depth, and work best when the range of salient objects is closer than the background.

More recently, the effectiveness of global contrast for RGB salient object detection [7] has inspired similar approaches for RGB-D saliency. Many existing methods measure global depth contrast, usually combined with colour and other modalities, to compute saliency [11, 15, 21, 24]. While the majority of previous work computes depth contrast using absolute depth difference between regions, some methods instead use signed depth difference, improving results for salient objects at the front of background [8]. Ju et al. [15] observe that while a salient object should be in front of its surroundings, patches on that object may be at a similar depth. However, as with other depth contrast methods, the primary feature of [15] is the depth difference between the foreground and background. Depth contrast methods are unlikely to produce good results when a salient object has low depth contrast compared to the rest of the scene (see Figure 1).

While depth contrast measurement forms the foundation of many approaches, it is common practice to enhance the resulting saliency maps by applying various priors and other refinement steps. The use of spatial and depth priors is widespread in existing work [5, 11, 15, 21, 24]. Ren et al. [22] explore orientation and background priors for detecting salient objects, and use PageRank and MRFs to optimize their saliency map. Peng et al. [23] incorporate object bias, and optimize their saliency map using a region growing approach. Ju et al. [15] apply Grabcut segmentation to refine the boundaries of the generated saliency map.

3. Local Background Enclosure

In this section we introduce the Local Background Enclosure feature, which quantifies the proportion of the object boundary that is in front of the background. The salient object detection system will be described in Section 4. Given an RGB-D image with pixel grid $I(x, y)$, we aim to segment the pixels into salient and non-salient pixels. For computational efficiency and to reduce noise from the depth image, instead of directly working on pixels, we oversegment the image into a set of patches according to their RGB value. We denote the patches as $P \subset I$. We use SLIC [2] to obtain the superpixel segmentation, although our method is flexible to the type of segmentation method used.

Salient objects tend to be locally in front of their surroundings, and consequently will be mostly enclosed by a region of greater depth, as shown in Figure 2. We propose the Local Background Enclosure feature denoted by $S$ based on depth. This feature employs an angular density component, $F$, and an angular gap component, $G$, to measure the proportion of the object boundary in front of the background.

3.1. Angular Density Component

We wish to measure the angular density of the regions surrounding $P$ with greater depth than $P$, referred to as the local background. We consider a local neighbourhood $N_P$ of $P$, consisting of all patches within radius $r$ of $P$. That is, $N_P = \{Q \mid \|c_P - c_Q\|_2 < r\}$, where $c_P$ and $c_Q$ are patch centroids.

We define the local background $B(P, t)$ of $P$ as the union of all patches within a neighbourhood $N_P$ that have a mean depth above a threshold $t$ from $P$.

$$B(P, t) = \bigcup \{P' \in N_P \mid D(P') > D(P) + t\},$$

where $D(P)$ denotes the mean depth of pixels in $P$.

We define a function $f(P, B(P, t))$ that computes the normalised ratio of the degree to which $B(P, t)$ encloses
within the local neighbourhood of $P$. This is given by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{|B(P,0)|} \sum_{Q \in B(P,0)} (D(Q) - \overline{D})^2,$$

where $\overline{D} = \frac{1}{|B(P,0)|} \sum_{Q \in B(P,0)} D(Q)$. This implicitly incorporates information about the distribution of depth differences between $P$ and its local background.

### 3.2. Angular Gap Component

In addition to the angular density $F(P)$, we introduce the angular gap statistic $G(P)$. As shown in Figure 3, even though $P_2$ and $P_3$ have similar angular densities, we would expect $P_2$ to have a significantly higher saliency since the background directions are more spread out. To capture this structure, we define the function $g(P, Q)$ to find the largest angular gap of $Q$ around $P$ and incorporate this into the saliency score.

$$g(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \max_{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \Theta} \{ |\theta_1 - \theta_2| \},$$

where $\Theta$ denotes the set of boundaries $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ of angular regions that do not contain background:

$$\Theta = \{ (\theta_1, \theta_2) \mid I(\theta, P, Q) = 0 \ \forall \theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2] \}. \quad (5)$$

A visualisation of $g$ is shown in Figure 3.

We define the angular gap statistic as the distribution function of $1 - g$:

$$G(P) = \int_0^\sigma 1 - g(P, B(P, t)) \, dt. \quad (6)$$

The final Local Background Enclosure value is given by:

$$S(P) = F(P) \cdot G(P). \quad (7)$$

Figure 8 shows the generated saliency map on some example images. Note that the pop-out structure corresponding to salient objects is correctly identified. Depth contrast features fail to detect the objects, or exhibit high false positives.

### 4. Saliency Detection System

We construct a system for salient object detection using the proposed feature. Specifically, we reweight the Local Background Enclosure feature saliency using depth and spatial priors, and then refine the result using Grabcut segmentation. An overview of our system is given in Figure 4.

#### 4.1. Depth, Spatial, and Background Prior

Studies report that absolute depth is an important component of pre-attentive visual attention, with closer objects more likely to appear salient to the human visual system [16]. Accordingly, scaling saliency by depth is a common refinement step in previous work [4, 5, 11, 15, 21, 23, 25].
4.2. Grabcut Segmentation

Given an RGB-D image and superpixel segmentation, we first compute our Local Background Enclosure feature, then apply depth, spatial, and background priors, and finally refine the result using Grabcut segmentation.

We perform absolute depth reweighting using a depth prior \( D(x, y) \) to modulate the saliency of pixels with depth greater than the median depth of the image \([15]\).

Another widely used prior is spatial bias, based on the tendency of the human visual system to fixate on objects near the center of an image \([26]\). Existing saliency methods commonly incorporate a center bias term to model this effect \([5,11,15,22,23]\). We incorporate this idea into our system, applying a Gaussian \( G(x, y) \) to re-weight patch saliency based on the distance between the pixel \((x, y)\) and the image center.

Recent works also incorporate a background prior based on some measure of boundary connectedness to improve detector precision \([22,23]\). We use the background prior map \( B(x, y) \) described in \([25]\) to reweight saliency.

The low-level saliency map with priors applied is thus given by:

\[
S_b = S \cdot D \cdot G \cdot B
\]  

4.3. Implementation Details

The discrete version of the angular density function \( f \) is implemented using a histogram-based approximation, denoted as \( \tilde{f} \). Let \( h(i, P, B(P, t)) \) be an \( n \) bin polar occupancy histogram, where bin \( i \) is 1 if the corresponding angular range contains an angle between the centroids of \( P \) and a patch in \( B(P, t) \), and 0 otherwise. We set \( \tilde{f} \) to be equal to the fill ratio of \( h \).

\[
\tilde{f} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i, P, B(P, t)) .
\]

The distribution function \( F \) is computed numerically using \( F \) by sampling \( \tilde{f} \) at \( m \) equally spaced points across the integration range such that:

\[
F(P) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{f} \left( P, B \left( P, \frac{i \cdot \sigma}{m} \right) \right).
\]

Similarly, we define \( \tilde{G} \) to evaluate \( G \):

\[
\tilde{G}(P) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot g \left( P, \frac{i \cdot \sigma}{m} \right).
\]

5. Experiments

The performance of our saliency system is evaluated on two datasets for RGB-D salient object detection. RGBD1000 \([22]\) contains 1000 RGB and structured light depth images. NJUDS2000 \([15]\) contains 2000 RGB and disparity images computed from stereo image pairs.

The proposed Local Background Enclosure feature is compared against the following state-of-the-art contrast-based depth features: multi-scale depth-contrast (LMH-D) \([22]\); global depth contrast (GP-D) \([23]\); and ACSD \([13]\). We also include versions of LMH-D and GP-D with signed depth, denoted LMH-SD and GP-SD respectively, where neighboring patches with a lower average depth do not contribute to the contrast measure of a patch. Additionally, in order to verify the contribution of using the distribution functions, we compute the product of the density functions \( f(P, t) \cdot g(P, t) \) with fixed threshold \( t = \sigma/2 \).

We then evaluate the contribution of prior application and Grabcut refinement on our salient object detection system on both datasets. Finally, we compare our salient object detection system with three state-of-the-art RGB-D salient object detection systems: LMH \([22]\), ACSD \([15]\), and a recently proposed method that exploits global priors, which we refer to as GP \([23]\). We also include comparisons with
the state-of-the-art 2D saliency algorithms DRFI [14] and DSR [17], which were found to be top ranking methods by a recent study [3].

5.1. Evaluation Metrics

We present the precision-recall curve and mean F-score to evaluate algorithm performance. The F-score is computed from the saliency output using an adaptive threshold equal to twice the mean of the image [1]. Note that the F-score is calculated as:

\[ F_\beta = \frac{(1 + \beta^2) \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\beta^2 \times \text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (13) \]

where \( \beta = 0.3 \) to weigh precision more than recall [1].

5.2. Experimental Setup

We set \( n = 32 \) histogram bins and \( m = 10 \) evaluation steps in our implementation of \( F \) and \( G \) respectively. These two values were found to provide a good trade-off between accuracy and efficiency for general use. The radius of the neighbourhood \( N_P \) should be set to equal the expected radius of the largest object to detect, thus we set it to half the image diagonal for general use. We use SLIC [2] on the colour image to generate the set of patches, with the number of patches set to the length of the diagonal of the image in pixels.

Our saliency method has one parameter - the threshold \( \alpha_0 \) used to generate the foreground mask for Grabcut initialisation. We empirically set this to \( \alpha_0 = 0.8 \) in the experiments.

5.3. Results

The LBE feature outperforms the contrast-based depth features used in state-of-the-art systems (Figures 5a and 5b). The performance of the depth features of GP and LMH is significantly improved when excluding patches with lower depth than the candidate patch during contrast computation. It can also be seen that using the distribution function gives improved results compared to using the density functions evaluated at a fixed threshold \( t \). Figures 5c and 5d show the increase in performance from applying priors and Grabcut segmentation to the LBE feature.

Compared to contrast-based depth features, the LBE feature reduces false negatives when the foreground has relatively low depth contrast (Figure 7 rows 1-2), and decreases false positives from high background contrast (Figure 7 rows 3-5).

Figure 6 shows that our saliency system outperforms all
other state-of-the-art RGB-D salient object detection systems. Our saliency system achieves the highest F-score on both datasets, with GP obtaining the second best performance. In addition to the highest F-score, our method exhibits the highest recall among the depth based methods on both datasets, reflecting the fact that our depth feature correctly identifies a greater portion of the foreground compared to contrast-based methods. From Figure 6a we see that our method has the highest PR curve on RGBD1000. Figure 6b shows that our system has high precision up to around 0.65 recall, with superior performance in the region of high precision. This demonstrates that our feature is able to identify salient structure from depth more effectively than existing contrast-based methods. With the exception of DRFI on RGBD1000, the RGB methods perform worse than most depth-aware methods.

Figure 7 shows the output of our salient detection system compared with state-of-the-art methods. Note that the other methods tend to have a high number of false positives due to depth contrast in background regions, for example depth change across a flat table is registered as salient by ACSD in the second row. The angular statistics employed by our depth feature provide a more robust measure of salient structure.

Failure Cases Since our method measures pop-out structure, it does not produce good results when the salient object is surrounded in all directions by background with lower depth. An example is shown in Figure 7 row 6. This is a rare occurrence, and the other depth saliency methods with the exception of GP-D also produce poor results in this case. In these situations, it is questionable whether the object can be considered to be salient. Note that GP-D produces the best results in this image because it does not assume that salient objects are in front of the background, however this leads to poor performance on the datasets.

6. Conclusion
In this paper, we have proposed a novel depth feature that exploits depth background enclosure to detect salient objects in RGB-D images. We incorporate this feature into a salient object detection system using depth prior, spatial prior, and Grabcut refinement. Our approach out-performs existing methods on two publicly available RGB-D salient object detection datasets.
Figure 8. Comparison of output saliency maps produced by our salient object detection system against the output of GP [23], ACSD [15], and LMH [22]. Our LBE depth feature allows for a more accurate final saliency map compared to methods using contrast-based depth features. Note that G. T. denotes Ground Truth.
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