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Part I

Overview
What is Machine Learning?

**Definition**

Machine learning is concerned with the design and development of algorithms that allow computers (machines) to improve their performance over time based on data.

- learning from past experience (training data)
- generalisation
- quantify ‘learning’: improve their performance over time
- need to quantify ‘performance’
What is Machine Learning?

**Definition**

Machine learning is concerned with the design and development of algorithms that allow computers (machines) to improve their performance over time based on data.

- learning from past experience (training data)
- generalisation
- quantify ‘learning’: improve their performance over time
- need to quantify ‘performance’

**Definition (Mitchell, 1998)**

A computer program is said to learn from experience $E$ with respect to some class of tasks $T$ and performance measure $P$, if its performance at tasks in $T$, as measured by $P$, improves with experience $E$. 
Why Machine Learning?

Machine Learning is essential when
- humans are unable to explain their expertise (e.g. speech recognition).
- humans are not around for help (e.g. navigation on Mars, underwater robotics).
- large amount of data with possible hidden relationships and correlations (empirical sciences, e.g. discover unusual astronomical objects).
- environment changes (fast) in time (e.g. mobile phone network).
- solutions need to be adapted to many particular cases (e.g. junk mail).

Example: It is easier to write a program that learns to play checkers or backgammon well by self-play rather than converting the expertise of a master player to a program.
### Junk Mail Filtering

- Given examples of data (mail), and targets \{\textit{Junk}, \textit{NoJunk}\}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From / To</th>
<th>Date Sent</th>
<th>Thread</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>10/02/09 7:45 +07</td>
<td>Message from eBay com au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KenJohnston</td>
<td>10/02/09 14:12 +07</td>
<td>Fool them once, fool them twice, fool...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>christfried.web...</td>
<td>10/02/09 3:14 +07</td>
<td>Assistance, Petersen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Sep</td>
<td>9/02/09 4:53 -0800</td>
<td>Un negocio de por vida 1000% Renta...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osita John</td>
<td>10/02/09 17:33 +07</td>
<td>Now Contact my secretary ask him fo...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Sep</td>
<td>9/02/09 0:38 -0800</td>
<td>Un negocio de por vida 1000% Renta...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Sep</td>
<td>9/02/09 10:12 -0800</td>
<td>Un negocio de por vida 1000% Renta...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISS MERCY…</td>
<td>29/01/09 23:13 +07</td>
<td>Urgent Attention(YOUR FILE HAVE)...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPSI BOTT...</td>
<td>25/07/08 11:23 +07</td>
<td>OEP00934/UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSEPH POON</td>
<td>11/02/09 12:04 +07</td>
<td>MY PROPOSAL!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADAM ERL...</td>
<td>11/02/09 13:41 +07</td>
<td>LOOKING FOR A TRUSTWORTHY...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REBECA RO...</td>
<td>11/02/09 18:48 +07</td>
<td>Dear sir/madam:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REBECA RO...</td>
<td>11/02/09 18:48 +07</td>
<td>Dear sir/madam:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elinor Shannon</td>
<td>11/02/09 22:37 +07</td>
<td>I shall look forward to hearing from you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Sep</td>
<td>10/02/09 14:37 -0800</td>
<td>Un negocio de por vida 1000% Renta...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Payme...</td>
<td>1/02/09 16:13 +07</td>
<td>Goodday,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANET KUEN</td>
<td>12/02/09 16:11 +07</td>
<td>Dear sir/madam:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abubakar Mar...</td>
<td>10/02/09 19:04 +07</td>
<td>OUR DEAR FRIEND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES ROBE...</td>
<td>12/02/09 23:12 +07</td>
<td>From James Roberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bases de Email…</td>
<td>13/02/09 10:50 +07</td>
<td>Nuevas Bases de Datos de Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrister Willi...</td>
<td>15/02/09 1:23 +07</td>
<td>WILL AND TESTAMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolde</td>
<td>15/02/09 9:45 -0800</td>
<td>A Valentine’s Day Ecard Special Deli...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTI eNews</td>
<td>15/02/09 12:25 +07</td>
<td>Super Sweet Deals From NTIus.com</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Learn to identify new incoming mail as \textit{Junk} or \textit{NoJunk}.
- Continue to learn from the user classifying new mail.
Handwritten Digit Recognition

- Given handwritten ZIP codes on letters, money amounts on cheques etc.

```
0 1 2 3 4
5 6 7 8 9
```

- Learn to correctly recognise new handwritten digits.
- Nonsense input: “Don’t know” preferred to some wrong digit.
Backgammon

- Plays now on the level of human world champion.
Image Denoising

Original image

Noise added

Denoised

- McAuley et. al., "Learning High-Order MRF Priors of Color Images", ICML2006
Cocktail Party Problem (human brains may do it differently ;–)

Audio Sources → Microphones → Audio Mixtures

Separating Audio Sources
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What is Machine Learning?
Definition
Examples of Machine Learning
Related Fields
Fundamental Types of Learning
Basic Probability Theory
Polynomial Curve Fitting
autonomous robotics,
detecting credit card fraud,
detecting network intrusion,
bioinformatics,
neuroscience,
medical diagnosis,
stock market analysis,
...
Related Fields

- Artificial Intelligence - AI
- Statistics
- Game Theory
- Neuroscience, Psychology
- Data Mining
- Computer Science
- Adaptive Control Theory
- ...
Fundamental Types of Learning

Unsupervised Learning
- Association
- Clustering
- Density Estimation
- Blind source separation

Supervised Learning
- Regression
- Classification

Reinforcement Learning
- Agents

Others
- Active Learning
- SemiSupervised Learning
- Transductive Learning
- ...
Unsupervised Learning

- Only input data given, no targets (labels).
- Goal: Determine how the data are organised.
Unsupervised Learning - Clustering

- Clustering: Group similar instances

- Example applications
  - Clustering customers in Customer-Relationship-Management
  - Image compression: color quantisation
Supervised Learning

- Given pairs of data and targets (=labels).
- Learn a mapping from the data to the targets (training).
- Goal: Use the learned mapping to correctly predict the target for new input data.
- Need to generalise well from the training data/target pairs.
Reinforcement Learning

- Example: Game playing. There is one reward at the end of the game (negative or positive).
- Find suitable actions in a given environment with the goal of maximising some reward.
- Correct input/output pairs never presented
- Reward might only come after many actions.
- Current action may not only influence the current reward, but future rewards too.
Reinforcement Learning

- Exploration versus Exploitation.
- Well suited for problems with a long-term versus short-term reward trade-off.
- Naturally focusing on online performance.

**Definition**

**Examples of Machine Learning**

**Related Fields**

**Fundamental Types of Learning**

**Basic Probability Theory**

**Polynomial Curve Fitting**

**MLSS 2010**
Basic Probability Theory

Probability

is a way of expressing knowledge or belief that an event will occur or has occurred.

Example: Fair Six-Sided Die

Sample space

\( \Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \)

Events

\( \text{Even} = \{2, 4, 6\}, \text{Odd} = \{1, 3, 5\} \)

Probability

\( P(3) = \frac{1}{6}, P(\text{Odd}) = P(\text{Even}) = \frac{1}{2} \)

Outcome

\( 3 \in \Omega \)

Conditional Probability

\( P(3 \mid \text{Odd}) = \frac{P(3 \text{ and Odd})}{P(\text{Odd})} = \frac{1/6}{1/2} = \frac{1}{3} \)

General Axioms

- \( P(\{\} ) = 0 \leq P(A) \leq P(\Omega) = 1 \),
- \( P(A \cup B) + P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(B) \),
- \( P(A \cap B) = P(A \mid B)P(B) \).

Rules of Probability

- Sum rule: \( P(X) = \sum_Y P(X, Y) \)
- Product rule: \( P(X, Y) = P(X \mid Y) \ P(Y) \)
(Un)fair Coin: $\Omega = \{\text{Tail} = 0, \text{Head} = 1\}$. $P(1) = \theta \in [0, 1]$.

Likelihood $P(1101 \mid \theta) = \theta \times \theta \times (1 - \theta) \times \theta$

Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimate $\hat{\theta} = \text{arg max}_\theta P(1101 \mid \theta) = \frac{3}{4}$

Prior If we are indifferent, then $P(\theta) = \text{const}$.

Evidence $P(1101) = \sum_\theta P(1101 \mid \theta)P(\theta) = \frac{1}{20}$ (actually $\int$)

Posterior $P(\theta \mid 1101) = \frac{P(1101 \mid \theta)P(\theta)}{P(1101)} \propto \theta^3(1 - \theta)$ (Bayes Rule)

Maximum a Posterior (MAP) estimate $\hat{\theta} = \text{arg max}_\theta P(\theta \mid 1101) = \frac{3}{4}$

Predictive Distribution $P(1 \mid 1101) = \frac{P(11011)}{P(1101)} = \frac{2}{3}$

Expectation $\mathbb{E}[f \mid \ldots] = \sum_\theta f(\theta)P(\theta \mid \ldots)$, e.g. $\mathbb{E}[\theta \mid 1101] = \frac{2}{3}$

Variance $\text{var}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[(\theta - \mathbb{E}[\theta])^2 \mid 1101] = \frac{2}{63}$

Probability Density $P(\theta) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}P([\theta, \theta + \epsilon])$ for $\epsilon \to 0$
Polynomial Curve Fitting

- some artificial data created from the function

\[
\sin(2\pi x) + \text{random noise} \quad x = 0, \ldots, 1
\]
Polynomial Curve Fitting - Training Data

\[ N = 10 \]
\[ \mathbf{x} \equiv (x_1, \ldots, x_N)^T \]
\[ \mathbf{t} \equiv (t_1, \ldots, t_N)^T \]
\[ x_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N \]
\[ t_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad i = 1, \ldots, N \]
M: order of polynomial

\[ y(x, w) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \cdots + w_M x^M \]

\[ = \sum_{m=0}^{M} w_m x^m \]

- nonlinear function of \( x \)
- \textit{linear} function of the unknown model parameter \( w \)
- How can we find good parameters \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_M)^T \)?
Learning is Improving Performance

- Performance measure: Error between target and prediction of the model for the training data

\[ E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(x_n, w) - t_n)^2 \]

- unique minimum of \( E(w) \) for argument \( w^* \)
Model is Constant Function

\[ y(x, w) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} w_m x^m \]

\[ = w_0 \]
Model is Linear Function

\[ y(x, w) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} w_m x^m \]

\[ = w_0 + w_1 x \]
Model is Cubic Polynomial

\[
y(x, w) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} w_m x^m \quad \bigg| \quad M=3
\]
\[
= w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + w_3 x^3
\]
Model is 9<sup>th</sup> order Polynomial

\[ y(x, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} w_m x^m \quad | \quad M=9 \]

\[ = w_0 + w_1 x + \cdots + w_8 x^8 + w_9 x^9 \]

- overfitting
Testing the Fitted Model

- Train the model and get $w^*$
- Get 100 new data points
- Root-mean-square (RMS) error

$$E_{RMS} = \sqrt{2E(w^*)/N}$$

![Graph showing training and test RMS error over M]

- $E_{RMS}$ training and test error graph.
## Parameters of the Fitted Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M = 0$</th>
<th>$M = 1$</th>
<th>$M = 3$</th>
<th>$M = 9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$w_0^*$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_1^*$</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_2^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-25.43</td>
<td>-5321.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_3^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>48568.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_4^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-231639.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_5^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>640042.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_6^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1061800.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_7^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1042400.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_8^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-557682.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_9^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125201.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:** Coefficients $w^*$ for polynomials of various order.
Get More Data

\[ N = 15 \]
Get Even More Data

- \( N = 100 \)
- heuristics: have no less than 5 to 10 times as many data points than parameters
- but number of parameters is not necessarily the most appropriate measure of model complexity!
- later: Bayesian approach
Regularisation

- How to constrain the growing of the coefficients $w$?
- Add a *regularisation* term to the error function

$$
\tilde{E}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y(x_n, w) - t_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2
$$

- Squared norm of the parameter vector $w$

$$
\|w\|^2 \equiv w^T w = w_0^2 + w_1^2 + \cdots + w_M^2
$$
$M = 9$

\[ \ln \lambda = -18 \]
Regularisation

\[ M = 9 \]
$M = 9$
Part II

Linear Regression
Linear Regression Model

- input "feature" vector $\mathbf{x} = (1 \equiv x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(D)})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1}$
- linear regression model
  \[
y(x, w) = \sum_{j=0}^{D} w_j x^{(j)} = w^T x
  \]
- model parameter $w = (w_0, \ldots, w_D)^T$ where $w_0$ is the *bias*

Hyperplanes for $w = \{(2, 1, -1), (5, 2, 1), (10, 2, 2)\}$
Linear Regression - Finding the Best Model

- Use training data \((x_1, t_1), \ldots, (x_N, t_N)\)
- and loss function (performance measure) to find best \(w\).
- Example: Residual sum of squares

\[
Loss(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - y(x_n, w))^2
\]

- Least square regression

\[
\hat{w} = \arg \min_w Loss(w)
\]
Linear Basis Function Models

- **Linear** combination of *fixed* nonlinear basis functions
  \[ \phi_j(x) \in \mathbb{R} \]

  \[ y(x, w) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(x) = w^T \phi(x) \]

  - parameter \( w = (w_0, \ldots, w_{M-1})^T \),
  - \( w_0 \) is the *bias parameter*,
  - basis functions \( \phi = (\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_{M-1})^T \)
  - convention \( \phi_0(x) = 1 \)
Polynomial Basis Functions

- Scalar input variable $x$
  \[ \phi_j(x) = x^j \]
- Limitation: Polynomials are global functions of the input variable $x$.
- Extension: Split the input space into regions and fit a different polynomial to each region (spline functions).
"Gaussian" Basis Functions

- Scalar input variable $x$

$$\phi_j(x) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x - \mu_j)^2}{2s^2} \right\}$$

- Not a probability distribution.
- No normalisation required, taken care of by the model parameters $w$. 

![Graph](image-url)
Sigmoidal Basis Functions

- Scalar input variable $x$

$$\phi_j(x) = \sigma \left( \frac{x - \mu_j}{s} \right)$$

where $\sigma(a)$ is the logistic sigmoid function defined by

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}$$

- $\sigma(a)$ is related to the hyperbolic tangent $\tanh(a)$ by

$$\tanh(a) = 2\sigma(a) - 1.$$
Other Basis Functions - Wavelets

- Wavelets: localised in both space and frequency
- Mutually orthogonal to simplify application.

![Symmlet-8 Wavelets](image)

FIGURE 5.16. Some selected wavelets at different translations and dilations for the Haar and symmlet families. The functions have been scaled to suit the display.
Other Basis Functions - 2D Splines

Splines: polynomials restricted to regions of the input space

FIGURE 5.10. At e n s o rp r o d u c tb a s i so fB - s p l i n e s , showing some selected pairs. Each two-dimensional function is the tensor product of the corresponding one-dimensional marginals.
Maximum Likelihood and Least Squares

- No special assumption about the basis functions $\phi_j(x)$. In the simplest case, one can think of $\phi_j(x) = x_j$.
- Assume target $t$ is given by

\[ t = y(x, w) + \epsilon \]

deterministic

noise

where $\epsilon$ is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable with precision (inverse variance) $\beta$.
- Thus

\[ p(t \mid x, w, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t \mid y(x, w), \beta^{-1}) \]
Maximum Likelihood and Least Squares

• Likelihood of one target $t$ given the data $x$

$$p(t \mid x, w, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t \mid y(x, w), \beta^{-1})$$

• Set of inputs $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ with corresponding target values $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)^T$.

• Assume data are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) (means: data are drawn independent and from the same distribution). The likelihood of the target $t$ is then

$$p(t \mid X, w, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n \mid y(x_n, w), \beta^{-1})$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n \mid w^T \phi(x_n), \beta^{-1})$$
Consider the logarithm of the likelihood $p(t \mid X, w, \beta)$ (the logarithm is a monoton function!)

$$
\ln p(t \mid X, w, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \mathcal{N}(t_n \mid w^T \phi(x_n), \beta^{-1})
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta}{2} (t_n - w^T \phi(x_n))^2 \right\} \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \beta E_D(w)
$$

where the sum-of-squares error function is

$$
E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{t_n - w^T \phi(x_n)\}^2.
$$

$$
\arg \max_w \ln p(t \mid X, w, \beta) \rightarrow \arg \min_w E_D(w)
$$
Maximum Likelihood and Least Squares

- Rewrite the Error Function

\[ E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ t_n - w^T \phi(x_n) \}^2 = \frac{1}{2} (t - \Phi w)^T (t - \Phi w) \]

where \( t = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)^T \), and

\[
\Phi = \begin{bmatrix}
\phi_0(x_1) & \phi_1(x_1) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(x_1) \\
\phi_0(x_2) & \phi_1(x_2) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(x_2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi_0(x_N) & \phi_1(x_N) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(x_N)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Maximum likelihood estimate

\[
w_{ML} = \arg \max_w \ln p(t \mid w, \beta) = \arg \min_w E_D(w)
\]

\[ = (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^T t = \Phi^+ t\]

where \( \Phi^+ \) is the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of \( \Phi \).
Regularized Least Squares

- Add regularisation in order to prevent overfitting
  \[ E_D(w) + \lambda E_W(w) \]
  with regularisation coefficient \( \lambda \).
- Simple quadratic regulariser
  \[ E_W(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w \]
- Maximum likelihood solution
  \[ w_{ML} = \left( \lambda I + \Phi^T \Phi \right)^{-1} \Phi^T t \]
More general regulariser

$$E_W(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |w_j|^q$$

$q = 1$ (lasso) leads to a sparse model if $\lambda$ large enough.

$q = 0.5$  
$q = 1$  
$q = 2$  
$q = 4$
Assume a sufficiently large regularisation coefficient $\lambda$.

**Quadratic regulariser**

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j^2
\]

**Lasso regulariser**

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |w_j|
\]
Bayesian Regression

- Bayes Theorem

\[
p(w | t) = \frac{p(t | w)p(w)}{p(t)}
\]

- likelihood for i.i.d. data

\[
p(t | w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n | y(x_n, w), \beta^{-1})
\]

\[
= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n | w^T \phi(x_n), \beta^{-1})
\]

\[
= \text{const} \times \exp\{-\beta \frac{1}{2}(t - \Phi w)^T(t - \Phi w)\}
\]

where we left out the conditioning on \(x\) (always assumed), and \(\beta\), which is assumed to be constant.
How to choose a prior?

- Can we find a prior for the given likelihood which
  - makes sense for the problem at hand
  - allows us to find a posterior in a ‘nice’ form

An answer to the second question:

**Definition (Conjugate Prior)**

A class of prior probability distributions \( p(w) \) is conjugate to a class of likelihood functions \( p(x | w) \) if the resulting posterior distributions \( p(w | x) \) are in the same family as \( p(w) \).
### Examples of Conjugate Prior Distributions

#### Table: Discrete likelihood distributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Conjugate Prior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bernoulli</td>
<td>Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binomial</td>
<td>Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multinomial</td>
<td>Dirichlet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table: Continuous likelihood distributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Conjugate Prior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>Pareto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariate normal</td>
<td>Multivariate normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bayesian Regression

- No data point ($N = 0$): start with prior.
- Each posterior acts as the prior for the next data/target pair.
- Nicely fits a sequential learning framework.

No data point ($N = 0$): start with prior.

Each posterior acts as the prior for the next data/target pair.

Nicely fits a sequential learning framework.
Sequential Update of the Posterior

- Example of a linear (basis function) model
- Single input $x$, single output $t$
- Linear model $y(x, w) = w_0 + w_1 x$.
- Data creation
  - Choose an $x_n$ from the uniform distribution $\mathcal{U}(x | -1, 1)$.
  - Calculate $f(x_n, a) = a_0 + a_1 x_n$, where $a_0 = -0.3$, $a_1 = 0.5$.
  - Add Gaussian noise with standard deviation $\sigma = 0.2$,
    $$t_n = \mathcal{N}(x_n | f(x_n, a), 0.04)$$
- Set the precision of the uniform prior to $\alpha = 2.0$. 
Sequential Update of the Posterior

- Likelihood
- Prior/posterior
- Data space

Example for Bayesian Regression

Predictive Distribution

Limitations of Linear Basis Function Models
Sequential Update of the Posterior
**Predictive Distribution**

**Definition (The Predictive Distribution)**

The Predictive Distribution is the *probability* of the test target $t$ given test data $x$, the training data set $X$ and the training targets $t$.

$$p(t \mid x, X, t)$$

- How to calculate the Predictive Distribution?

$$p(t \mid x, X, t) = \int p(t, w \mid x, X, t) \, dw$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(sum rule)}$$

$$= \int p(t \mid w, x, X, t) p(w \mid x, X, t) \, dw$$

$$= \int p(t \mid w, x) p(w \mid X, t) \, dw$$
Predictive Distribution – Isotropic Gaussian Prior

(Simplified) isotropic Gaussian prior

\[ p(w \mid \alpha) = \mathcal{N}(w \mid 0, \alpha^{-1}I) \]

Predictive distribution \( p(t \mid x, X, t) \) is Gaussian, variance after \( N \) data points have been seen

\[
\sigma^2_N(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} + \phi^T (\alpha I + \beta \Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} \phi
\]

\[
\sigma^2_{N+1}(x) \leq \sigma^2_N(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \sigma^2_N(x) = \frac{1}{\beta}
\]
Example with artificial sinusoidal data from $\sin(2\pi x)$ (green) and added noise. Mean of the predictive distribution (red) and regions of one standard deviation from mean (red shaded).
Samples from the Posterior Distribution

Example with artificial sinusoidal data from $\sin(2\pi x)$ (green) and added noise. Samples $y(x, w)$ (red) from the posterior distribution $p(w | X, t)$. 
Limitations of Linear Basis Function Models

- Basis function $\phi_j(x)$ are fixed before the training data set is observed.
- Curse of dimensionality: Number of basis function grows rapidly, often exponentially, with the dimensionality $D$.
- But typical data sets have two nice properties which can be exploited if the basis functions are not fixed:
  - Data lie close to a nonlinear manifold with intrinsic dimension much smaller than $D$. Need algorithms which place basis functions only where data are (e.g., radial basis function networks, support vector machines, relevance vector machines, neural networks).
  - Target variables may only depend on a few significant directions within the data manifold. Need algorithms which can exploit this property (Neural networks).
Curse of Dimensionality

- Linear Algebra allows us to operate in \( n \)-dimensional vector spaces using the intuition from our 3-dimensional world as a vector space. No surprises as long as \( n \) is finite.
- If we add more structure to a vector space (e.g. inner product, metric), our intuition gained from the 3-dimensional world around us may be wrong.
- Example: Sphere of radius \( r = 1 \). What is the fraction of the volume of the sphere in a \( D \)-dimensional space which lies between radius \( r = 1 \) and \( r = 1 - \epsilon \)?
- Volume scales like \( r^D \), therefore the formula for the volume of a sphere is \( V_D(r) = K_D r^D \).

\[
\frac{V_D(1) - V_D(1 - \epsilon)}{V_D(1)} = 1 - (1 - \epsilon)^D
\]
Curse of Dimensionality

- Fraction of the volume of the sphere in a $D$-dimensional space which lies between radius $r = 1$ and $r = 1 - \epsilon$

\[
\frac{V_D(1) - V_D(1 - \epsilon)}{V_D(1)} = 1 - (1 - \epsilon)^D
\]

When $D = 1$, $D = 2$, $D = 5$, and $D = 20$, the fraction of the volume of the sphere that lies between radius $1$ and $1 - \epsilon$ is shown in the graph.
Curse of Dimensionality

Probability density with respect to radius $r$ of a Gaussian distribution for various values of the dimensionality $D$. 

![Graph of probability density with respect to radius $r$ for different values of $D$.]
Curse of Dimensionality

- Probability density with respect to radius $r$ of a Gaussian distribution for various values of the dimensionality $D$.
- Example: $D = 2$; assume $\mu = 0, \Sigma = I$

$$\mathcal{N}(x \mid 0, I) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^T x \right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \right\}$$

- Coordinate transformation

$$x_1 = r \cos(\phi) \quad x_2 = r \sin(\phi)$$

- Probability in the new coordinates

$$p(r, \phi \mid 0, I) = \mathcal{N}(r(x), \phi(x) \mid 0, I) \mid J \right|$$

where $\mid J \right| = r$ is the determinant of the Jacobian for the given coordinate transformation.

$$p(r, \phi \mid 0, I) = \frac{1}{2\pi} r \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \right\}$$
Curse of Dimensionality

- Probability density with respect to radius $r$ of a Gaussian distribution for $D = 2$ (and $\mu = 0, \Sigma = I$)

$$p(r, \phi \mid 0, I) = \frac{1}{2\pi} r \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \right\}$$

- Integrate over all angles $\phi$

$$p(r \mid 0, I) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} r \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \right\} \, d\phi = r \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \right\}$$

![Curse of Dimensionality graph](image_url)
Classification

- Goal: Given input data \( \mathbf{x} \), assign it to one of \( K \) discrete classes \( C_k \) where \( k = 1, \ldots, K \).
- Divide the input space into different regions.
How to represent binary class labels?

- Class labels are no longer real values as in regression, but a discrete set.
- Two classes: \( t \in \{0, 1\} \) (\( t = 1 \) represents class \( C_1 \) and \( t = 0 \) represents class \( C_2 \))
- Can interpret the value of \( t \) as the probability of class \( C_1 \), with only two values possible for the probability, 0 or 1.
- Note: Other conventions to map classes into integers possible, check the setup.
How to represent multi-class labels?

- If there are more than two classes ($K > 2$), we call it a multi-class setup.
- Often used: 1-of-$K$ coding scheme in which $t$ is a vector of length $K$ which has all values 0 except for $t_j = 1$, where $j$ comes from the membership in class $C_j$ to encode.
- Example: Given 5 classes, $\{C_1, \ldots, C_5\}$. Membership in class $C_2$ will be encoded as the target vector
  $$t = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0)^T$$
- Note: Other conventions to map multi-classes into integers possible, check the setup.
Linear Model

- Idea: Use again a *Linear Model* as in regression: $y(x, w)$ is a linear function of the parameters $w$

$$y(x_n, w) = w^T \phi(x_n)$$

- But generally $y(x_n, w) \in \mathbb{R}$.  
Example: Which class is $y(x, w) = 0.71623$?
Apply a mapping \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) to the linear model to get the discrete class labels.

**Generalised Linear Model**

\[
y(x_n, w) = f(w^T \phi(x_n))
\]

- **Activation function**: \( f(\cdot) \)
- **Link function**: \( f^{-1}(\cdot) \)

**Figure**: Example of an activation function \( f(z) = \text{sign}(z) \).
Three Models for Decision Problems

In increasing order of complexity

- Find a *discriminant function* $f(x)$ which maps each input directly onto a class label.

- **Discriminative Models**
  1. Solve the inference problem of determining the posterior class probabilities $p(C_k | x)$.
  2. Use decision theory to assign each new $x$ to one of the classes.

- **Generative Models**
  1. Solve the inference problem of determining the class-conditional probabilities $p(x | C_k)$.
  2. Also, infer the prior class probabilities $p(C_k)$.
  3. Use Bayes’ theorem to find the posterior $p(C_k | x)$.
  4. Alternatively, model the joint distribution $p(x, C_k)$ directly.
  5. Use decision theory to assign each new $x$ to one of the classes.
Decision Theory - Key Ideas

- probability of a mistake

\[
p(\text{mistake}) = p(x \in \mathcal{R}_1, C_2) + p(x \in \mathcal{R}_2, C_1)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathcal{R}_1} p(x, C_2) \, dx + \int_{\mathcal{R}_2} p(x, C_1) \, dx
\]

- goal: minimize \(p(\text{mistake})\)
Minimising the Expected Loss

- Not all mistakes are equally costly.
- Weight each misclassification of $x$ to the wrong class $C_j$ instead of assigning it to the correct class $C_k$ by a factor $L_{kj}$.
- The expected loss is now

$$\mathbb{E} [L] = \sum_k \sum_j \int_{R_j} L_{kj} p(x, C_k) dx$$

- Goal: minimize the expected loss $\mathbb{E} [L]$
Avoid making automated decisions on difficult cases.

Difficult cases:
- posterior probabilities $p(C_k \mid x)$ are very small
- joint distributions $p(x, C_k)$ have comparable values

![Diagram showing the reject region and decision boundaries.](image)
Least Squares for Classification

- Regression with a linear function of the model parameters and minimisation of sum-of-squares error function resulted in a closed-from solution for the parameter values.
- Is this also possible for classification?
- Given input data \( x \) belonging to one of \( K \) classes \( C_k \).
- Use 1-of-\( K \) binary coding scheme.
- Each class is described by its own linear model

\[
y_k(x) = w_k^T x + w_{k0} \quad k = 1, \ldots, K
\]
Least Squares for Classification

- With the conventions

\[ \tilde{w}_k = \begin{bmatrix} w_{k0} \\ w_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1} \]
\[ \tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1} \]
\[ \tilde{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}_1 & \ldots & \tilde{w}_K \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(D+1) \times K} \]

we get for the discriminant function (vector valued)

\[ y(x) = \tilde{W}^T \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^K. \]

- For a new input \( x \), the class is then defined by the index of the largest value in the row vector \( y(x) \)
Determine $\tilde{W}$

- Given a training set $\{x_n, t\}$ where $n = 1, \ldots, N$, and $t$ is the class in the 1-of-$K$ coding scheme.
- Define a matrix $T$ where row $n$ corresponds to $t_n^T$.
- The sum-of-squares error can now be written as

$$E_D(\tilde{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left\{ (\tilde{X}\tilde{W} - T)^T(\tilde{X}\tilde{W} - T) \right\}$$

- The minimum of $E_D(\tilde{W})$ will be reached for

$$\tilde{W} = (\tilde{X}^T\tilde{X})^{-1}\tilde{X}^T T = \tilde{X}^\dagger T$$

where $\tilde{X}^\dagger$ is the pseudo-inverse of $\tilde{X}$. 
Discriminant Function for Multi-Class

- The discriminant function $y(x)$ is therefore
  \[ y(x) = \tilde{W}^T\tilde{x} = T^T(\tilde{X}^\dagger)T\tilde{x}, \]
  where $\tilde{X}$ is given by the training data, and $\tilde{x}$ is the new input.

- Interesting property: If for every $t_n$ the same linear constraint $a^T t_n + b = 0$ holds, then the prediction $y(x)$ will also obey the same constraint
  \[ a^T y(x) + b = 0. \]

- For the 1-of-$K$ coding scheme, the sum of all components in $t_n$ is one, and therefore all components of $y(x)$ will sum to one. BUT: the components are not probabilities, as they are not constraint to the interval $(0, 1)$. 

Deficiencies of the Least Squares Approach

Magenta curve : Decision Boundary for the least squares approach (Green curve : Decision boundary for the logistic regression model described later)
Deficiencies of the Least Squares Approach

Magenta curve: Decision Boundary for the least squares approach (Green curve: Decision boundary for the logistic regression model described later)
View linear classification as dimensionality reduction.

\[ y(x) = w^T x \]

If \( y \geq -w_0 \) then class \( C_1 \), otherwise \( C_2 \).

But there are many projections from a \( D \)-dimensional input space onto one dimension.

Projection always means loss of information.

For classification we want to preserve the class separation in one dimension.

Can we find a projection which maximally preserves the class separation?
Fisher’s Linear Discriminant

Samples from two classes in a two-dimensional input space and their histogram when projected to two different one-dimensional spaces.
Fisher’s Linear Discriminant - First Try

- Given \( N_1 \) input data of class \( C_1 \), and \( N_2 \) input data of class \( C_2 \), calculate the centres of the two classes

\[
    m_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n \in C_1} x_n, \quad m_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n \in C_2} x_n
\]

- Choose \( w \) so as to maximise the projection of the class means onto \( w \)

\[
    m_1 - m_2 = w^T (m_1 - m_2)
\]

- Problem with non-uniform covariance
Fisher’s Linear Discriminant

- Measure also the within-class variance for each class

\[ s_k^2 = \sum_{n \in C_k} (y_n - m_k)^2 \]

where \( y_n = w^T x_n \).

- Maximise the Fisher criterion

\[ J(w) = \frac{(m_2 - m_1)^2}{s_1^2 + s_2^2} \]
Fisher’s Linear Discriminant

The Fisher criterion can be rewritten as

\[ J(w) = \frac{w^T S_B w}{w^T S_W w} \]

- \( S_B \) is the between-class covariance

\[ S_B = (m_2 - m_1)(m_2 - m_1)^T \]

- \( S_W \) is the within-class covariance

\[ S_W = \sum_{n \in C_1} (x_n - m_1)(x_n - m_1)^T + \sum_{n \in C_2} (x_n - m_2)(x_n - m_2)^T \]
Fisher’s Linear Discriminant

- The Fisher criterion

\[ J(w) = \frac{w^T S_B w}{w^T S_W w} \]

has a maximum for **Fisher’s linear discriminant**

\[ w \propto S_W^{-1} (m_2 - m_1) \]

- Fisher’s linear discriminant is NOT a discriminant, but can be used to construct one by choosing a threshold \( y_0 \) in the projection space.
Perceptron ("MARK 1", Cornell Univ., 1960) was the first computer which could learn new skills by trial and error.
The Perceptron Algorithm

- Frank Rosenblatt (1928 - 1969)
- "Principles of neurodynamics: Perceptrons and the theory of brain mechanisms" (Spartan Books, 1962)
The Perceptron Algorithm

- Two class model
- Create feature vector $\phi(x)$ by a fixed nonlinear transformation of the input $x$.
- Generalised linear model

$$y(x) = f(w^T \phi(x))$$

with $\phi(x)$ containing some bias element $\phi_0(x) = 1$.
- Nonlinear activation function

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} +1, & a \geq 0 \\ -1, & a < 0 \end{cases}$$

- Target coding for perceptron

$$t = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } C_1 \\ -1, & \text{if } C_2 \end{cases}$$
The Perceptron Algorithm - Error Function

- Idea: Minimise total number of misclassified patterns.
- Problem: As a function of $w$, this is piecewise constant and therefore the gradient is zero almost everywhere.
- Better idea: Using the $(-1, +1)$ target coding scheme, we want all patterns to satisfy $w^T \phi(x_n)t_n > 0$.
- **Perceptron Criterion**: Add the errors for all patterns belonging to the set of misclassified patterns $\mathcal{M}$

$$E_P(w) = - \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} w^T \phi(x_n)t_n$$
Perceptron - Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Perceptron Criterion (with notation $\phi_n = \phi(x_n)$)

$$E_P(w) = - \sum_{n \in M} w^T \phi_n t_n$$

- One iteration at step $\tau$
  1. Choose a training pair $(x_n, t_n)$
  2. Update the weight vector $w$ by

$$w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_P(w) = w^{(\tau)} + \eta \phi_n t_n$$

- As $y(x, w)$ does not depend on the norm of $w$, one can set $\eta = 1$

$$w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{(\tau)} + \phi_n t_n$$
The Perceptron Algorithm - Update 1

Update of the perceptron weights from a misclassified pattern (green)

$$w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{(\tau)} + \phi_n t_n$$
Update of the perceptron weights from a misclassified pattern (green)

\[ w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{(\tau)} + \phi_n t_n \]
The Perceptron Algorithm - Convergence

- Does the algorithm converge?
- For a single update step

\[-w^{(\tau+1)T} \phi_n t_n = -w^{(\tau)T} \phi_n t_n - (\phi_n t_n)^T \phi_n t_n < -w^{(\tau)T} \phi_n t_n\]

because \((\phi_n t_n)^T \phi_n t_n = \|\phi_n t_n\| > 0\).

- BUT: contributions to the error from the other misclassified patterns might have increased.
- AND: some correctly classified patterns might now be misclassified.

**Perceptron Convergence Theorem**: If the training set is linearly separable, the perceptron algorithm is guaranteed to find a solution in a finite number of steps.
Three Models for Decision Problems

In increasing order of complexity

- **Find a discriminant function** $f(x)$ which maps each input directly onto a class label.
- **Discriminative Models**
  1. Solve the inference problem of determining the posterior class probabilities $p(C_k \mid x)$.
  2. Use decision theory to assign each new $x$ to one of the classes.

- **Generative Models**
  1. Solve the inference problem of determining the class-conditional probabilities $p(x \mid C_k)$.
  2. Also, infer the prior class probabilities $p(C_k)$.
  3. Use Bayes’ theorem to find the posterior $p(C_k \mid x)$.
  4. Alternatively, model the joint distribution $p(x, C_k)$ directly.
  5. Use decision theory to assign each new $x$ to one of the classes.
Generative approach: model class-conditional densities $p(x \mid C_k)$ and priors $p(C_k)$ to calculate the posterior probability for class $C_1$

$$p(C_1 \mid x) = \frac{p(x \mid C_1)p(C_1)}{p(x \mid C_1)p(C_1) + p(x \mid C_2)p(C_2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a(x))} = \sigma(a(x))$$

where $a$ and the logistic sigmoid function $\sigma(a)$ are given by

$$a(x) = \ln \frac{p(x \mid C_1) p(C_1)}{p(x \mid C_2) p(C_2)} = \ln \frac{p(x, C_1)}{p(x, C_2)}$$

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}.$$
The **logistic sigmoid** function \( \sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \)

"squashing function’ because it maps the real axis into a finite interval \((0, 1)\)

\( \sigma(-a) = 1 - \sigma(a) \)

Derivative \( \frac{d}{da} \sigma(a) = \sigma(a) \sigma(-a) = \sigma(a) (1 - \sigma(a)) \)

Inverse is called **logit** function \( a(\sigma) = \ln \left( \frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \right) \)
The normalised exponential is given by

\[ p(C_k \mid x) = \frac{p(x \mid C_k) p(C_k)}{\sum_j p(x \mid C_j) p(C_j)} = \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)} \]

where

\[ a_k = \ln(p(x \mid C_k) p(C_k)) \].

Also called softmax function as it is a smoothed version of the max function.

Example: If \( a_k \gg a_j \) for all \( j \neq k \), then \( p(C_k \mid x) \approx 1 \), and \( p(C_j \mid x) \approx 0 \).
If each class-conditional probability is Gaussian and has a different covariance, the quadratic terms $-\frac{1}{2}x^T\Sigma^{-1}x$ do no longer cancel each other out.

We get a quadratic discriminant.
Assume the input space consists of discrete features, in the simplest case \( x_i \in \{0, 1\} \).

For a \( D \)-dimensional input space, a general distribution would be represented by a table with \( 2^D \) entries.

Together with the normalisation constraint, this are \( 2^D - 1 \) independent variables.

Grows exponentially with the number of features.

The **Naive Bayes** assumption is that all features conditioned on the class \( C_k \) are independent of each other.

\[
p(x \mid C_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \mu_{k_i}^{x_i} (1 - \mu_{k_i})^{1-x_i}
\]
With the naive Bayes

\[ p(\mathbf{x} | C_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \mu_{k_i}^{x_i} (1 - \mu_{k_i})^{1-x_i} \]

we can then again find the factors \( a_k \) in the normalised exponential

\[ p(C_k | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | C_k)p(C_k)}{\sum_j p(\mathbf{x} | C_j)p(C_j)} = \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)} \]

as a linear function of the \( x_i \)

\[ a_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \{x_i \ln \mu_{k_i} + (1 - x_i) \ln(1 - \mu_{k_i})\} + \ln p(C_k). \]
Three Models for Decision Problems

In increasing order of complexity

- Find a **discriminant function** $f(x)$ which maps each input directly onto a class label.

- **Discriminative Models**
  
  1. Solve the inference problem of determining the posterior class probabilities $p(C_k | x)$.
  2. Use decision theory to assign each new $x$ to one of the classes.

- **Generative Models**
  
  1. Solve the inference problem of determining the class-conditional probabilities $p(x | C_k)$.
  2. Also, infer the prior class probabilities $p(C_k)$.
  3. Use Bayes’ theorem to find the posterior $p(C_k | x)$.
  4. Alternatively, model the joint distribution $p(x, C_k)$ directly.
  5. Use decision theory to assign each new $x$ to one of the classes.
Logistic Regression is Classification

- Two classes where the posterior of class $C_1$ is a logistic sigmoid $\sigma()$ acting on a linear function of the feature vector $\phi$
  
  \[ p(C_1 \mid \phi) = y(\phi) = \sigma(w^T \phi) \]

- $p(C_2 \mid \phi) = 1 - p(C_1 \mid \phi)$

- Model dimension is equal to dimension of the feature space $M$.

- Compare this to fitting two Gaussians

\[
\underbrace{2M} \quad + \quad \underbrace{M(M + 1)/2} = M(M + 5)/2
\]

- For larger $M$, the logistic regression model has a clear advantage.
Logistic Regression is Classification

- Determine the parameter via maximum likelihood for data $(\phi_n, t_n), \, n = 1, \ldots, N$, where $\phi_n = \phi(x_n)$. The class membership is coded as $t_n \in \{0, 1\}$.
- Likelihood function
  \[ p(t \mid w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n} \]
  where $y_n = p(C_1 \mid \phi_n)$.
- Error function: negative log likelihood resulting in the cross-entropy error function
  \[ E(w) = - \ln p(t \mid w) = - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{ t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n) \} \]
Logistic Regression is Classification

- Error function (cross-entropy error)

\[
E(w) = - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{t_n \ln y_n + (1 - t_n) \ln(1 - y_n)\}
\]

- \(y_n = p(C_1 | \phi_n) = \sigma(w^T \phi_n)\)

- Gradient of the error function (using \(\frac{d\sigma}{da} = \sigma(1 - \sigma)\))

\[
\nabla E(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - t_n) \phi_n
\]

- Gradient does not contain any sigmoid function
- For each data point error is product of deviation \(y_n - t_n\) and basis function \(\phi_n\).
- BUT: maximum likelihood solution can exhibit over-fitting even for many data points; should use regularised error or MAP then.
Original Input versus Feature Space

- Used direct input \( x \) until now.
- All classification algorithms work also if we first apply a fixed nonlinear transformation of the inputs using a vector of basis functions \( \phi(x) \).
- Example: Use two Gaussian basis functions centered at the green crosses in the input space.

![Diagram showing original input versus feature space.](image)
Original Input versus Feature Space

- Linear decision boundaries in the feature space correspond to nonlinear decision boundaries in the input space.
- Classes which are NOT linearly separable in the input space can become linearly separable in the feature space.
- BUT: If classes overlap in input space, they will also overlap in feature space.
- Nonlinear features $\phi(x)$ can not remove the overlap; but they may increase it!

![Image of original input versus feature space graphs]

Linear decision boundaries in the feature space correspond to nonlinear decision boundaries in the input space. Classes which are NOT linearly separable in the input space can become linearly separable in the feature space. BUT: If classes overlap in input space, they will also overlap in feature space. Nonlinear features $\phi(x)$ can not remove the overlap; but they may increase it!
Part IV

Neural Networks
Functional Transformations

As before, the biases can be absorbed into the weights by introducing an extra input $x_0 = 1$ and a hidden unit $z_0 = 1$.

$$y_k(x, w) = g \left( \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_{kj}^{(2)} h \left( \sum_{i=0}^{D} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i \right) \right)$$

Compare to Generalised Linear Model

$$y_k(x, w) = g \left( \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_{kj}^{(2)} \phi_j(x) \right)$$
Variable Basis Functions in a Neural Networks

\[ \phi(x) = \sigma(w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2) \] for different parameter \( w \).

\[ w = (0, 1, 0.1) \]

\[ w = (0, 0.1, 1) \]

\[ w = (0, -0.5, 0.5) \]

\[ w = (10, -0.5, 0.5) \]
Aproximation Capabilities of Neural Networks

Neural network approximating

\[ f(x) = x^2 \]

Two-layer network with 3 hidden units (\( \tanh \) activation functions) and linear outputs trained on 50 data points sampled from the interval \((-1, 1)\). Red: resulting output. Dashed: Output of the hidden units.
Neural network approximating

\[ f(x) = \sin(x) \]

Two-layer network with 3 hidden units (tanh activation functions) and linear outputs trained on 50 data points sampled from the interval \((-1, 1)\). Red: resulting output. Dashed: Output of the hidden units.
Aproximation Capabilities of Neural Networks

- Neural network approximating

\[ f(x) = |x| \]

Two-layer network with 3 hidden units \((\text{tanh} \text{ activation functions})\) and linear outputs trained on 50 data points sampled from the interval \((-1, 1)\). Red: resulting output. Dashed: Output of the hidden units.
Aproximation Capabilities of Neural Networks

• Neural network approximating Heaviside function

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x \geq 0 \\
0, & x < 0 
\end{cases} \]

Two-layer network with 3 hidden units (tanh activation functions) and linear outputs trained on 50 data points sampled from the interval \((-1, 1)\). Red: resulting output. Dashed: Output of the hidden units.
Aproximation Capabilities of Neural Networks

- Neural network for two-class classification.
- 2 inputs, 2 hidden units with \( \tanh \) activation function, 1 output with logistic sigmoid activation function.

Red: \( y = 0.5 \) decision boundary. Dashed blue: \( z = 0.5 \) hidden unit contours. Green: Optimal decision boundary from the known data distribution.
Parameter Optimisation

- Nonlinear mapping from input $x_n$ to output $y(x_n, w)$.
- Sum-of-squares error function over all training data

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|y(x_n, w) - t_n\|^2,$$

where we have $N$ pairs of input vectors $x_n$ and target vectors $t_n$.

- Find the parameter $\hat{w}$ which minimises $E(w)$

$$\hat{w} = \arg \min_w E(w)$$

by gradient descent.
Error Backpropagation

- Given current errors $\delta_k$, the activation function $h(\cdot)$, its derivative $h'(\cdot)$, and its output $z_i$ in the previous layer.
- Error in the previous layer via the backpropagation formula:

$$\delta_j = h'(a_j) \sum_k w_{kj} \delta_k.$$ 

- Components of the gradient $\nabla E_n$ are then:

$$\frac{\partial E_n(w)}{\partial w_{ji}} = \delta_j z_i.$$
Efficiency of Error Backpropagation

As the number of weights is usually much larger than the number of units (the network is well connected), the complexity of calculating the gradient $\frac{\partial E_n(w)}{\partial w_{ji}}$ via error backpropagation is of $O(W)$ where $W$ is the number of weights.

Compare this to *numerical differentiation* using

$$\frac{\partial E_n(w)}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{E_n(w_{ji} + \epsilon) - E_n(w_{ji})}{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon)$$

or the numerically more stable (fewer round-off errors) *symmetric differences*

$$\frac{\partial E_n(w)}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{E_n(w_{ji} + \epsilon) - E_n(w_{ji} - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon} + O(\epsilon^2)$$

which both need $O(W^2)$ operations.
Model complexity matters again.

Examples of two-layer networks with $M$ hidden units.

$M = 1$  
$M = 3$  
$M = 10$
Stop training at the minimum of the validation set error.

Training set error. Validation set error.
Part V

*Kernel Methods and SVM*
Kernel Methods

- Keep (some) of the training data and recast prediction as a linear combination of kernel functions which are evaluated at the kept training data points and the new test point.
- Let $L(t, y(x))$ be any loss function
- and $J(f)$ be any penalty quadratic in $f$,
- then minimum of penalised loss $\sum_{n=1}^{N} L(t_n, y(x_n)) + \lambda J(f)$
- has form $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n k(x_n, x)$
- with $\alpha$ minimising $\sum_{n=1}^{N} L(t_n, (K\alpha)_n) + \lambda \alpha^T K\alpha$,
- and Kernel $K_{ij} = K_{ji} = k(x_i, x_j)$
- Kernel trick based on Mercer’s theorem: Any continuous, symmetric, positive semi-definite kernel function $k(x, y)$ can be expressed as a dot product in a high-dimensional (possibly infinite dimensional) space.
Support Vector Machines choose the decision boundary which maximises the smallest distance to samples in both classes.

$$\hat{w} = \arg \max_{w: \|w\|=1} \min_n \left[ t_n \left( w^T \phi(x_n) \right) \right] \quad \forall t_n \in \{-1, 1\}$$

Linear boundary for $\phi_k(x) = x^{(k)}$
Maximum Margin Classifiers

Non-linear boundary for general $\phi(x)$.

$$\hat{w} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \phi(x_n)$$

for a few $\alpha_n \neq 0$ and corresponding $x_n$ (support vectors).

$$\hat{f}(x) = \hat{w}^T \phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n k(x_n, x) \quad \text{with} \quad k(x_n, x) = \phi(x_n)^T \phi(x)$$
Overlapping Class distributions

- Introduce slack variable $\xi_n \geq 0$ for each data point $n$.

$$\xi_n = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{data point is correctly classified and on margin boundary or beyond} \\
|t_n - y(x)|, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$
Overlapping Class distributions

The \( \nu \)-SVM algorithm using Gaussian kernels \( \exp(-\gamma \|x - x'\|^2) \) with \( \gamma = 0.45 \) applied to a nonseparable data set in two dimensions. Support vectors are indicated by circles.
Part VI

Mixture Models and EM
**K-means Clustering**

- Goal: Partition $N$ features $x_n$ into $K$ clusters using Euclidian distance $d(x_i, x_j) = \|x_i - x_j\|$ such that each feature belongs to the cluster with the nearest mean.
- Distortion measure: $J(\mu, cl(x_i)) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} d(x_i, \mu_{cl(x_i)})^2$
  where $cl(x_i)$ is the index of the cluster centre closest to $x_i$.
- Start with $K$ arbitrary cluster centres $\mu_k$.
- **M-step**: Minimise $J$ w.r.t. $cl(x_i)$: Assign each data point $x_i$ to closest cluster with index $cl(x_i)$.
- **E-step**: Minimise $J$ w.r.t. $\mu_k$: Find new $\mu_k$ as the mean of points belonging to cluster $k$.
- Iteration over M/E-steps converges to local minimum of $J$.

![K-means Clustering Diagram](image)
K-means Clustering - Example

(a) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(b) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(c) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(d) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(e) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(f) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(g) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(h) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
(i) [Diagram showing data points and clusters]
Mixture Models and EM

- Mixture of Gaussians:
  \[ P(x \mid \pi, \mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \]

- Maximise likelihood
  \[ P(x \mid \pi, \mu, \Sigma) \text{ w.r.t. } \pi, \mu, \Sigma. \]

M-step: Minimise \( J \) w.r.t. \( cl(x_i) \): Assign each data point \( x_i \) to closest cluster with index \( cl(x_i) \).

E-step: Minimise \( J \) w.r.t. \( \mu_k \): Find new \( \mu_k \) as the mean of points belonging to cluster \( k \).
EM for Gaussian Mixtures

- Given a Gaussian mixture and data $X$, maximise the log likelihood w.r.t. the parameters $(\pi, \mu, \Sigma)$.
  - Initialise the means $\mu_k$, covariances $\mu_k$ and mixing coefficients $\pi_k$. Evaluate the log likelihood function.
  - **E step**: Evaluate the $\gamma(z_k)$ using the current parameters
    \[
    \gamma(z_k) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_j, \Sigma_j)}
    \]
  - **M step**: Re-estimate the parameters using the current $\gamma(z_k)$
    \[
    \mu_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) x_n
    \]
    \[
    \pi_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{N_k}{N}
    \]
    \[
    \Sigma_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) (x_n - \mu_k^{\text{new}}) (x_n - \mu_k^{\text{new}})^T
    \]
  - Evaluate the log likelihood, if not converged then goto 2.
    \[
    \ln p(X | \pi, \mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k^{\text{new}} \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_k^{\text{new}}, \Sigma_k^{\text{new}}) \right\}
    \]
Mixture of Bernoulli Distributions

- Set of $D$ binary variables $x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, D$.
- Each governed by a Bernoulli distribution with parameter $\mu_i$. Therefore

$$p(x \mid \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \mu_i^{x_i}(1 - \mu_i)^{1-x_i}$$

- Expectation and covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \mu$$
$$\text{cov}[x] = \text{diag}\{\mu_i(1 - \mu_i)\}$$
Mixture of Bernoulli Distributions

- Mixture

\[ p(x | \mu, \pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k p(x | \mu_k) \]

with

\[ p(x | \mu_k) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} \mu_{ki}^{x_i} (1 - \mu_{ki})^{1-x_i} \]

- Similar calculation as with mixture of Gaussian

\[ \gamma(z_{nk}) = \frac{\pi_k p(x_n | \mu_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j p(x_n | \mu_j)} \]

\[ N_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) \]

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) x_n \]

\[ \mu_k = \bar{x} \]

\[ \pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N} \]
EM for Mixture of Bernoulli Distributions - Digits

Examples from a digits data set, each pixel taken only binary values.

Parameters $\mu_{ki}$ for each component in the mixture.  Fit to one multivariate Bernoulli distribution.
The Role of Latent Variables

- EM finds the maximum likelihood solution for models with latent variables.
- Two kinds of variables
  - Observed variables $X$
  - Latent variables $Z$
- Log likelihood is then

\[
\ln p(X | \theta) = \ln \left\{ \sum_Z p(X, Z | \theta) \right\}
\]

- Optimisation problem due to the log-sum.
- Assume maximisation of the distribution $p(X, Z | \theta)$ over the complete data set $\{X, Z\}$ is straightforward.
- But we only have the incomplete data set $\{X\}$ and the posterior distribution $p(Z | X, \theta)$. 
**EM - Key Idea**

Key idea of EM: As \(Z\) is not observed, work with an ‘averaged’ version \(Q(\theta, \theta^{old})\) of the complete log-likelihood \(\ln p(X, Z | \theta)\), averaged over all states of \(Z\).

\[
Q(\theta, \theta^{old}) = \sum_{Z} p(Z | X, \theta^{old}) \ln p(X, Z | \theta)
\]
**EM Algorithm**

1. Choose an initial setting for the parameters $\theta^{\text{old}}$.

2. **E step** Evaluate $p(Z \mid X, \theta^{\text{old}})$.

3. **M step** Evaluate $\theta^{\text{new}}$ given by

   \[
   \theta^{\text{new}} = \arg \max_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})
   \]

   where

   \[
   Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \sum_{Z} p(Z \mid X, \theta^{\text{old}}) \ln p(X, Z \mid \theta)
   \]

4. Check for convergence of log likelihood or parameter values. If not yet converged, then

   \[
   \theta^{\text{old}} = \theta^{\text{new}}
   \]

and go to step 2.
EM Algorithm - Convergence

- Start with the product rule for the observed variables $x$, the unobserved variables $Z$, and the parameters $\theta$

$$\ln p(X, Z | \theta) = \ln p(Z | X, \theta) + \ln p(X | \theta).$$

- Apply $\sum_Z q(Z)$ with arbitrary $q(Z)$ to the formula

$$\sum_Z q(Z) \ln p(X, Z | \theta) = \sum_Z q(Z) \ln p(Z | X, \theta) + \ln p(X | \theta).$$

- Rewrite as

$$\ln p(X | \theta) = \sum_Z q(Z) \ln \frac{p(X, Z | \theta)}{q(Z)} - \sum_Z q(Z) \ln \frac{p(Z | X, \theta)}{q(Z)}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \theta) - \text{KL}(q \| p)$$

- $\text{KL}(q \| p)$ is the Kullback-Leibler divergence.
Kullback-Leibler Divergence

- ‘Distance’ between two distributions $p(y)$ and $q(y)$

$$KL(q\parallel p) = \sum_y q(y) \ln \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} = -\sum_y q(y) \ln \frac{p(y)}{q(y)}$$

$$KL(q\parallel p) = \int q(y) \ln \frac{q(y)}{p(y)} \, dy = -\int q(y) \ln \frac{p(y)}{q(y)} \, dy$$

- $KL(q\parallel p) \geq 0$
- not symmetric: $KL(q\parallel p) \neq KL(p\parallel q)$
- $KL(q\parallel p) = 0$ iff $q = p$.
- invariant under parameter transformations
The two parts of $\ln p(X | \theta)$

\[
\ln p(X | \theta) = \sum_z q(Z) \ln \frac{p(X, Z | \theta)}{q(Z)} - \sum_z q(Z) \ln \frac{p(Z | X, \theta)}{q(Z)}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}(q, \theta) \quad \text{KL}(q || p)
\]

\[
\ln p(X | \theta) = \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) + \text{KL}(q || p)
\]
Hold $\theta^{\text{old}}$ fixed. Maximise the lower bound $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}})$ with respect to $q(\cdot)$.

- $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}})$ is a functional.
- $\ln p(X | \theta)$ does NOT depend on $q(\cdot)$.
- Maximum for $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}})$ will occur when the Kullback-Leibler divergence vanishes.

Therefore, choose $q(Z) = p(Z | X, \theta^{\text{old}})$

$$
\ln p(X | \theta) = \sum_Z q(Z) \ln \frac{p(X,Z | \theta)}{q(Z)} - \sum_Z q(Z) \ln \frac{p(Z | X, \theta)}{q(Z)}
$$

- $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$
- $\text{KL}(q || p)$

$\text{KL}(q || p) = 0$
EM Algorithm - M Step

- Hold \( q(\cdot) = p(Z \mid X, \theta^{\text{old}}) \) fixed. Maximise the lower bound \( \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) \) with respect to \( \theta \):
  \[
  \theta^{\text{new}} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{Z} q(\cdot) \ln p(X, Z \mid \theta)
  \]
- \( \mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{new}}) > \mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{old}}) \) unless maximum already reached.
- As \( q(\cdot) = p(Z \mid X, \theta^{\text{old}}) \) is fixed, \( p(Z \mid X, \theta^{\text{new}}) \) will not be equal to \( q(\cdot) \), and therefore the Kullback-Leibler distance will be greater than zero (unless converged).

\[
\ln p(X \mid \theta) = \sum_{Z} q(Z) \ln \frac{p(X, Z \mid \theta)}{q(Z)} - \sum_{Z} q(Z) \ln \frac{p(Z \mid X, \theta)}{q(Z)}
\]

\( \mathcal{L}(q, \theta) \)

\( \text{KL}(q \| p) \)

\( \ln p(X \mid \theta^{\text{new}}) \)

\( \mathcal{L}(q, \theta^{\text{new}}) \)
EM Algorithm - Parameter View

Red curve: incomplete data likelihood.
Part VII

Sampling
In a computer usually via **pseudorandom number generator**: an algorithm generating a sequence of numbers that approximates the properties of random numbers.

**Example**: *linear congruential generators*

\[
z^{(n+1)} = (a z^{(n)} + c) \mod m
\]

for modulus \(m > 0\), multiplier \(0 < a < m\), increment \(0 \leq c < m\), and seed \(z_0\).

**Other classes of pseudorandom number generators:**
- Lagged Fibonacci generators
- Linear feedback shift registers
- Generalised feedback shift registers
Example: RANDU Random Number Generator

- Used since the 1960s on many machines
- Defined by the recurrence

\[ z^{(n+1)} = (2^{16} + 3) z^{(n)} \mod 2^{31} \]
RANDU looks somehow ok?

- Plotting \((\tilde{z}^{(n+2)}, \tilde{z}^{(n+1)}, \tilde{z}^{(n)})^T\) in 3D . . .
Plotting $(z^{(n+2)}, z^{(n+1)}, z^{(n)})^T$ in 3D ... and changing the viewpoint results in 15 planes.
A Bad Generator - RANDU

- Analyse the recurrence

\[ z^{(n+1)} = (2^{16} + 3) z^{(n)} \mod 2^{31} \]

- Assuming every equation to be modulo $2^{31}$, we can correlate three samples

\[ z^{(n+2)} = (2^{16} + 3)^2 z^{(n)} \]
\[ = (2^{32} + 6 \cdot 2^{16} + 9) z^{(n)} \]
\[ = (6(2^{16} + 3) - 9) z^{(n)} \]
\[ = 6z^{(n+1)} - 9z^{(n)} \]

Goal: Sample from $p(y)$ which is given in analytical form. Suppose uniformly distributed samples of $z$ in the interval $(0, 1)$ are available. Calculate the cumulative distribution function

$$h(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} p(x) \, dx$$

Transform the samples from $\mathcal{U}(z \mid 0, 1)$ by

$$y = h^{-1}(z)$$

to obtain samples $y$ distributed according to $p(y)$. 

Sampling from Standard Distributions

- Goal: Sample from $p(y)$ which is given in analytical form.
- If a uniformly distributed random variable $z$ is transformed using $y = h^{-1}(z)$ then $y$ will be distributed according to $p(y)$.

![Diagram showing sampling from standard distributions with functions $p(y)$ and $h(y)$ and a uniform distribution.](image)
Sampling from the Exponential Distribution

- Goal: Sample from the **exponential distribution**

\[ p(y) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda y} & 0 \leq y \\ 0 & y < 0 \end{cases} \]

with *rate parameter* \( \lambda > 0 \).

- Suppose uniformly distributed samples of \( z \) in the interval \((0, 1)\) are available.

- Calculate the **cumulative distribution function**

\[ h(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} p(x) \, dx = \int_{0}^{y} \lambda e^{-\lambda y} \, dx = 1 - e^{-\lambda y} \]

- Transform the samples from \( \mathcal{U}(z \mid 0, 1) \) by

\[ y = h^{-1}(z) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(1 - z) \]

to obtain samples \( y \) distributed according to the exponential distribution.
**Sampling the Gaussian Distribution - Box-Muller**

1. Generate pairs of uniformly distributed random numbers $z_1, z_2 \in (-1, 1)$ (e.g. $z_i = 2z - 1$ for $z$ from $\mathcal{U}(z \mid 0, 1)$)

2. Discard any pair $(z_1, z_2)$ unless $z_1^2 + z_2^2 \leq 1$. Results in a uniform distribution inside of the unit circle $p(z_1, z_2) = 1/\pi$.

3. Evaluate $r^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2$ and

   $$y_1 = z_1 \left( \frac{-2 \ln r^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

   $$y_2 = z_2 \left( \frac{-2 \ln r^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

4. $y_1$ and $y_2$ are independent with joint distribution

   $$p(y_1, y_2) = p(z_1, z_2) \left| \frac{\partial (z_1, z_2)}{\partial (y_1, y_2)} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y_1^2/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y_2^2/2}$$
Rejection Sampling

- Assumption 1: Sampling directly from $p(z)$ is difficult, but we can evaluate $p(z)$ up to some unknown normalisation constant $Z_p$

  $$p(z) = \frac{1}{Z_p} \tilde{p}(z)$$

- Assumption 2: We can draw samples from a simpler distribution $q(z)$ and for some constant $k$ and all $z$ holds

  $$kq(z) \geq \tilde{p}(z)$$
Rejection Sampling

1. Generate a random number $z_0$ from the distribution $q(z)$.
2. Generate a number from the $u_0$ from the uniform distribution over $[0, k q(z_0)]$.
3. If $u_0 > \tilde{p}(z_0)$ then reject the pair $(z_0, u_0)$.
4. The remaining pairs have uniform distribution under the curve $\tilde{p}(z)$.
5. The $z$ values are distributed according to $p(z)$. 

\[ \begin{align*}
    &k q(z_0) \\
    &k q(z) \\
    &\tilde{p}(z) \\
    &u_0 \\
    &z_0 \\
    &z
\end{align*} \]
Importance Sampling

- Provides a framework to directly calculate the expectation $\mathbb{E}_p [f(z)]$ with respect to some distribution $p(z)$.
- Does NOT provide $p(z)$.
- Again use a proposal distribution $q(z)$ and draw samples $z$ from it.
- Then

$$\mathbb{E} [f] = \int f(z) p(z) \, dz = \int f(z) \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} q(z) \, dz \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{p(z^{(l)})}{q(z^{(l)})} f(z^{(l)})$$
Importance Sampling - Unnormalised

Consider both $\tilde{p}(z)$ and $\tilde{q}(z)$ to be not normalised.

\[
p(z) = \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{Z_p} \quad q(z) = \frac{\tilde{q}(z)}{Z_q}.
\]

It follows then that

\[
\mathbb{E}[f] \approx \frac{Z_q}{Z_p} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \tilde{r}_l f(z^{(l)}) \quad \tilde{r}_l = \frac{\tilde{p}(z^{(l)})}{\tilde{q}(z^{(l)})}.
\]

Use the same set of samples to calculate

\[
\frac{Z_p}{Z_q} \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \tilde{r}_l,
\]

resulting in the formula for unnormalised distributions

\[
\mathbb{E}[f] \approx \sum_{l=1}^{L} w_l f(z^{(l)}) \quad w_l = \frac{\tilde{r}_l}{\sum_{m=1}^{L} \tilde{r}_m}
\]
Importance Sampling - Key Points

- Try to choose sample points in the input space where the product $f(z)p(z)$ is large.
- Or at least where $p(z)$ is large.
- *Importance weights* $r_I$ correct the bias introduced by sampling from the proposal distribution $q(z)$ instead of the wanted distribution $p(z)$.
- Success depends on how well $q(z)$ approximates $p(z)$.
- If $p(z) > 0$ in same region, then $q(z) > 0$ necessary.
Goal: Generate samples from the distribution $p(z)$.

Idea: Build a machine which uses the current sample to decide which next sample to produce in such a way that the overall distribution of the samples will be $p(z)$.

1. Current sample $z^{(r)}$ is known. Generate a new sample $z^*$ from a proposal distribution $q(z | z^{(r)})$ we know how to sample from.

2. Accept or reject the new sample according to some appropriate criterion.

$$z^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} 
  z^* & \text{if accepted} \\
  z^{(r)} & \text{if rejected}
\end{cases}$$

3. Proposal distribution depends on the current state.
Metropolis Algorithm

1. Choose a symmetric proposal distribution
   \[ q(z_A | z_B) = q(z_B | z_A). \]

2. Accept the new sample \( z^* \) with probability
   \[ A(z^*, z^{(r)}) = \min \left( 1, \frac{\tilde{p}(z^*)}{\tilde{p}(z^{(r)})} \right) \]

3. How? Choose a random number \( u \) with uniform distribution in \((0, 1)\). Accept new sample if \( A(z^*, z^{(r)}) > u \).

4. \[ z^{(l+1)} = \begin{cases} 
    z^* & \text{if accepted} \\
    z^{(r)} & \text{if rejected} 
\end{cases} \]

Rejection of a point leads to inclusion of the previous sample. (Different from rejection sampling.)
Metropolis Algorithm - Illustration

- Sampling from a Gaussian Distribution (black contour shows one standard deviation).
- Proposal distribution is isotropic Gaussian with standard deviation 0.2.
- 150 candidates generated; 43 rejected.
Markov Chain Monte Carlo - Metropolis-Hasting

- Generalisation of the Metropolis algorithm for nonsymmetric proposal distributions $q_k$.
- At step $\tau$, draw a sample $z^*$ from the distribution $q_k(z | z^{(\tau)})$ where $k$ labels the set of possible transitions.
- Accept with probability

$$A^*_k(z, z^{(\tau)}) = \min \left( 1, \frac{\tilde{p}(z^*) q_k(z^{(\tau)} | z^*)}{p(z^{(\tau)}) q_k(z^* | z^{(\tau)})} \right)$$

- Choice of proposal distribution critical.
- Common choice: Gaussian centered on the current state.
  - small variance $\rightarrow$ high acceptance rate, but slow walk through the state space; samples not independent
  - large variance $\rightarrow$ high rejection rate
Part VIII

More Machine Learning
More Machine Learning

- Graphical Models
- Gaussian Processes
- Sequential Data
- Sequential Decision Theory
- Learning Agents
- Reinforcement Learning
- Theoretical Model Selection
- Additive Models and Trees and Related Methods
- Approximate (Variational) Inference
- Boosting
- Concept Learning
- Computational Learning Theory
- Genetic Algorithms
- Learning Sets of Rules
- Analytical Learning
- ...

MLSS 2010
Part IX

Resources
Journals

- Journal of Machine Learning Research
- Machine Learning
- IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence
- IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks
- Neural Computation
- Neural Networks
- Annals of Statistics
- Journal of the American Statistical Association
- SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics (SIAP)
- ...
Conferences

- International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)
- European Conference on Machine Learning (ECML)
- Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)
- Algorithmic Learning Theory (ALT)
- Computational Learning Theory (COLT)
- Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI)
- International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)
- International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks (ICANN)
- ...
Books

Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning
Christopher M. Bishop

The Elements of Statistical Learning
Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, Jerome Friedman
Books

Pattern Classification
Richard O. Duda, Peter E. Hart, David G. Stork

Introduction to Machine Learning
Ethem Alpaydin
Datasets

- UCI Repository
  http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/

- UCI Knowledge Discovery Database Archive

- Statlib
  http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/

- Delve
  http://www.cs.utoronto.ca/~delve/

- Time Series Database
  http://robjhyndman.com/TSDL