

Composition of Monads

Jeremy E. Dawson

Logic & Computation Programme
National ICT Australia

Automated Reasoning Group
Computer Sciences Laboratory
Res. Sch. of Inf. Sci. and Eng.
Australian National University

Jeremy.Dawson@nicta.com.au <http://rsise.anu.edu.au/~jeremy>

Types of monad functions

$unit : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha M$

$map : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha M \rightarrow \beta M)$

$join : \alpha M M \rightarrow \alpha M$

$ext : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow (\alpha M \rightarrow \beta M)$

$bind : \alpha M \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow \beta M$

$\odot : (\beta \rightarrow \gamma M) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma M)$

Examples for the list monad

let $g\ 1 = [1]$, $g\ 3 = [1, 2, 3]$, etc

$unit\ a = [a]$

$map\ f\ [x, y, z] = [f\ x, f\ y, f\ z]$

$join\ [[u, v], [w], [x, y]] = [u, v, w, x, y]$

$ext\ g\ [2, 0, 4] = [1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 4]$

Relationships between monad functions

$$\begin{aligned} m \text{ bind } f &= \text{ext } f \ m \\ \text{ext } f &= \text{join} \circ \text{map } f \\ \text{join} &= \text{ext } \text{id} \\ \text{map } f &= \text{ext } (\text{unit} \circ f) \\ g \odot f &= \text{ext } g \circ f \\ \text{ext } g &= g \odot \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

Monad rules for *unit*, *map* and *join*

$$\text{map } id = id \quad (1)$$

$$\text{map } f \circ \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \circ g) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{unit} \circ f = \text{map } f \circ \text{unit} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{map } (\text{map } f) = \text{map } f \circ \text{join} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{unit} = id \quad (5)$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{map } \text{unit} = id \quad (6)$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{map } \text{join} = \text{join} \circ \text{join} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{ext } f = \text{join} \circ \text{map } f \quad (8)$$

$$\text{ext } (g \circ f) = \text{ext } g \circ \text{map } f \quad (9)$$

Examples of monad rules

$$\text{join} \circ \text{unit} = \text{id} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{join} [[3, 5, 7]] = [3, 5, 7]$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{map unit} = \text{id} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{join} [[3], [5], [7]] = [3, 5, 7]$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{map} (\text{map } f) = \text{map } f \circ \text{join} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [[u, v], [w], [x, y]] & [[u', v'], [w'], [x', y']] & [u', v', w', x', y'] \\ & [u, v, w, x, y] & \end{array}$$

$$\text{join} \circ \text{map join} = \text{join} \circ \text{join} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [[[u, v], [w]], [[x], [y, z]]] & [[[u, v, w]], [[x, y, z]]] & [u, v, w, x, y, z] \\ & [[u, v], [w], [x], [y, z]] & \end{array}$$

Monad rules for *unit*, *ext* and \odot

$$\text{ext } f \circ \text{unit} = f \quad (\text{E1})$$

$$\text{ext unit} = \text{id} \quad (\text{E2})$$

$$\text{ext } (\text{ext } g \circ f) = \text{ext } g \circ \text{ext } f \quad (\text{E3'})$$

$$\text{join} = \text{ext id} \quad (\text{E4})$$

$$\text{map } f = \text{ext } (\text{unit} \circ f) \quad (\text{E5})$$

$$\text{ext } f \circ \text{unit} = f \quad (\text{E1})$$

$$\text{ext unit} = \text{id} \quad (\text{E2})$$

$$\text{ext } (g \odot f) = \text{ext } g \circ \text{ext } f \quad (\text{E3})$$

$$g \odot f = \text{ext } g \circ f \quad (\text{E6})$$

A useful theorem

Theorem 1 *In a monad the following are equivalent*

(i) $\text{ext } g = g \circ \text{join}$

(ii) $g = \text{ext } (g \circ \text{unit})$

(iii) *there exists f such that $g = \text{ext } f$*

(iv) *for all h , $\text{ext } (g \circ h) = g \circ \text{ext } h$*

Refer monad rules (E4), (7), (E5) and (4)

Identity and associativity in the Kleisli category \mathcal{K}_M

Theorem 2 Assuming rules (E1) to (E3)

$$g \odot f = \text{ext } g \circ f \quad (\text{E6})$$

$$(h \odot g) \circ f = h \odot (g \circ f) \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\text{ext } f = \text{ext } g \Rightarrow f = g \quad (\text{EI})$$

$$f \odot \text{unit} = f \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\text{unit} \odot f = f \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$h \odot (g \odot f) = (h \odot g) \odot f \quad (\text{A3})$$

the Kleisli category \mathcal{K}_M : **objects** are types — α, β , etc

an arrow from α to β is a function of type $\alpha \rightarrow \beta M$

identity arrow on α is $\text{unit} : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha M$

composition is $\odot : (\beta \rightarrow \gamma M) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma M)$, so g from β to γ and f from α to β compose to give $g \odot f$, from α to γ

Rules (A1) to (A3) give the properties required for a category.

Monad Rules Based on the Kleisli Category \mathcal{K}_M

$$f \odot \text{unit} = f \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\text{unit} \odot f = f \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$h \odot (g \odot f) = (h \odot g) \odot f \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$(h \odot \text{id}) \circ f = h \odot f \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$h \odot (\text{unit} \circ f) = h \circ f \quad (\text{A4}')$$

$$\text{ext } g = g \odot \text{id} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$(h \odot g) \circ f = h \odot (g \circ f) \quad (\text{A6})$$

The State Monad

Let State be a fixed type, eg, the program state.

$$\alpha S = \text{State} \rightarrow \alpha * \text{State}$$

$$\text{unit}_S a s = (a, s)$$

$$(g \odot_S f) a s = \text{let } (b, s') = f a s \text{ in } g b s'$$

Proof tedious, but

$$\text{curry } g x y = g (x, y)$$

$$\text{unc } f (x, y) = f x y$$

(mutually inverse, and so 1-1)

for (A1) and (A2):

$$\text{unc } (f \odot_S \text{unit}_S) = \text{unc } f \circ \text{unc } \text{unit}_S = \text{unc } f \circ \text{id} = \text{unc } f$$

$$\text{unc } (\text{unit}_S \odot_S f) = \text{unc } \text{unit}_S \circ \text{unc } f = \text{id} \circ \text{unc } f = \text{unc } f$$

for (A3): $\text{unc } (h \odot_S (g \odot_S f)) = \text{unc } h \circ (\text{unc } g \circ \text{unc } f) =$

$$(\text{unc } h \circ \text{unc } g) \circ \text{unc } f = \text{unc } ((h \odot_S g) \odot_S f)$$

The Compound State Monad

Let M be any monad. Define $\alpha S_M = \text{State} \rightarrow (\alpha * \text{State})M$.

We can define \odot_{SM} and unit_{SM} by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unc } (g \odot_{SM} f) &= \text{unc } g \odot_M \text{unc } f \\ \text{unc } \text{unit}_{SM} &= \text{unit}_M \end{aligned}$$

Then the proofs are easy, using corresponding rules for monad M .

for (A1) and (A2):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unc } (f \odot_{SM} \text{unit}_{SM}) &= \text{unc } f \odot_M \text{unc } \text{unit}_{SM} = \text{unc } f \odot_M \text{unit}_M = \text{unc } f \\ \text{unc } (\text{unit}_{SM} \odot_{SM} f) &= \text{unc } \text{unit}_{SM} \odot_M \text{unc } f = \text{unit}_M \odot_M \text{unc } f = \text{unc } f \end{aligned}$$

for (A3):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unc } (h \odot_{SM} (g \odot_{SM} f)) &= \text{unc } h \odot_M (\text{unc } g \odot_M \text{unc } f) = \\ &= (\text{unc } h \odot_M \text{unc } g) \odot_M \text{unc } f = \text{unc } ((h \odot_{SM} g) \odot_{SM} f) \end{aligned}$$

Other definitions more complicated, and proofs correspondingly so.

A free theorem ??

Still need to prove (A4),

$$(h \odot id) \circ f = h \odot f \quad f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta M \quad h : \beta \rightarrow \gamma M$$

Can we use Wadler's "free theorems"?

Idea is that $h \odot _ : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma M$ is polymorphic in α , so in applying $h \odot f$ to $a : \alpha$, can only apply f to a , and then do something else, call it g .

That is, $h \odot f = g \circ f$, so

$$(h \odot id) \circ f = (g \circ id) \circ f = g \circ f = h \odot f$$

Is this valid?

Other Monads

List monad: $\alpha L = \alpha \text{ list}$

Reader monad: $\alpha R = \text{param} \rightarrow \alpha$

Writer monad: $\alpha W = \alpha \times \text{output}$

Error monad: $\alpha E = \alpha \text{ option}$ (SOME a for success, NONE for failure)

These can form compound monads with an arbitrary monad M in different ways: αMR , αWM , αEM

αE can represent termination of a program, in a final state, or non-termination

αEL represents corresponding non-deterministic program operation

αLE also represents such a program for considering *total correctness*:
if it *may* fail to terminate then never mind what else it might do, it is a failure.

αLE is also a compound monad

Compound Monads *via* Partial Extension

compound monad type is $(\alpha N)M = \alpha NM$. To define a compound monad NM , need ext_{NM} , “extending” a function f from a “smaller” domain, α , to a “larger” one, αNM .

Consider a “partial extension” function pext which does part of this job:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ext}_{NM} &: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta NM) \rightarrow (\alpha NM \rightarrow \beta NM) \\ \text{pext} &: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta NM) \rightarrow (\alpha N \rightarrow \beta NM)\end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{ext}_{NM} f = \text{ext}_M (\text{pext } f)$.

Rules (E1K) to (E3K) are enough to define NM .

About \odot_{NM} or unit_{NM} , assume only they have the right types.

Need not assume that N is a monad.

Monad rules for a compound monad using *pext*

$$pext\ f \odot_M unit_{NM} = f \quad (E1K)$$

$$pext\ unit_{NM} = unit_M \quad (E2K)$$

$$pext\ (g \odot_{NM} f) = pext\ g \odot_M pext\ f \quad (E3K)$$

$$kjoin = pext\ unit_M \quad (E4K)$$

$$kmap\ f = pext\ (unit_{NM} \odot_M f) \quad (E5K)$$

$$g \odot_{NM} f = pext\ g \odot_M f \quad (E6K)$$

These are just the rules needed for a monad N in \mathcal{K}_M

Correspondence between monad N and monad N in \mathcal{K}_M

| | |
|---|--|
| $id : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ | $unit_M : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha M$ |
| $unit_N : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha N$ | $unit_{NM} : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha NM$ |
| $map_N : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha N \rightarrow \beta N$ | $kmap : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow \alpha N \rightarrow \beta NM$ |
| $join_N : \alpha NN \rightarrow \alpha N$ | $kjoin : \alpha NN \rightarrow \alpha NM$ |
| $ext_N : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta N) \rightarrow \alpha N \rightarrow \beta N$ | $pext : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta NM) \rightarrow \alpha N \rightarrow \beta NM$ |
| $ext_N g = g \odot_N id$ | $pext g = g \odot_{NM} unit_M$ |
| $g \odot_N f = ext_N g \circ f$ | $g \odot_{NM} f = pext g \odot_M f$ |
| $join_N = ext_N id$ | $kjoin = pext unit_M$ |
| $map_N f = ext_N (unit_N \circ f)$ | $kmap f = pext (unit_{NM} \odot_M f)$ |
| $ext_N f = join_N \circ map_N f$ | $pext f = kjoin \odot_M kmap f$ |
| $h \odot_N f = (h \odot_N id) \circ f$ | $h \odot_{NM} f = (h \odot_{NM} unit_M) \odot_M f$ |

To show NM is a monad, using the *pext* rules

$$f \odot_{NM} \mathit{unit}_{NM} = f \quad (\text{A1K})$$

$$\mathit{unit}_{NM} \odot_{NM} f = f \quad (\text{A2K})$$

$$h \odot_{NM} (g \odot_{NM} f) = (h \odot_{NM} g) \odot_{NM} f \quad (\text{A3K})$$

$$(h \odot_{NM} \mathit{unit}_M) \odot_M f = h \odot_{NM} f \quad (\text{A4K})$$

To show NM is a monad, want namely (A1NM) to (A4NM).

But (A1NM) to (A3NM) same as (A1K) to (A3K); only (A4NM) is different.

So need only (A4NM); to get it, have both (A4K) and (A4M).

$$(h \odot_{NM} \mathit{id}) \circ f = h \odot_{NM} f \quad (\text{A4NM})$$

$$(h \odot_M \mathit{id}) \circ f = h \odot_M f \quad (\text{A4M})$$

$$(h \odot_{NM} \mathit{id}) \circ f = ((h \odot_{NM} \mathit{unit}_M) \odot_M \mathit{id}) \circ f \quad (\text{A4K})$$

$$= (h \odot_{NM} \mathit{unit}_M) \odot_M f = h \odot_{NM} f \quad (\text{A4M, A4K})$$

What characterises such compound monads?

Such compound monads NM satisfy

$$\text{ext}_{NM} f = \text{ext}_M (\text{pext } f) \quad (\text{EC})$$

$$\text{pext } f = \text{ext}_{NM} f \circ \text{unit}_M \quad (\text{PE})$$

$$\text{ext}_M (\text{ext}_{NM} f) = \text{ext}_{NM} f \circ \text{join}_M \quad (\text{J1S})$$

Note, (J1S) of the form of Theorem 1(i).

Conversely, if M and NM are monads, and (J1S) holds, then \odot_{NM} also defines a monad in \mathcal{K}_M , and, using (PE) to define pext , (EC) holds.

Proof uses that (A1K) to (A3K) same as (A1NM) to (A3NM); shows (A4K) and (EC) from (J1S) using Theorem 1.

A more general set of rules

Three more functions of the following types:

$$dunit : \alpha M \rightarrow \alpha NM$$

$$dmap : (\alpha \rightarrow \beta M) \rightarrow (\alpha NM \rightarrow \beta NM)$$

$$djoin : \alpha NNM \rightarrow \alpha NM$$

$$dmap \, unit_M = id \quad (G1)$$

$$dmap (f \circ h) = dmap f \circ map_{NM} h \quad (G2)$$

$$dmap f \circ unit_{NM} = dunit \circ f \quad (G3)$$

$$djoin \circ dmap (dmap f) = dmap f \circ join_{NM} \quad (G4)$$

$$djoin \circ dunit = id \quad (G5)$$

$$djoin \circ dmap \, unit_{NM} = id \quad (G6)$$

$$djoin \circ dmap \, djoin = djoin \circ join_{NM} \quad (G7)$$

$$ext_{NM} f = djoin \circ dmap f \quad (G8)$$

These also give a monad NM

Theorem 3 Assume rules (G1) to (G8). Then ext_{NM} , join_{NM} , map_{NM} and unit_{NM} give a monad NM , where also

$$\text{djoin} = \text{ext}_{NM} \text{unit}_M \quad (\text{G9})$$

$$\text{dmap } f = \text{ext}_{NM} (\text{dunit} \circ f) \quad (\text{G10})$$

$$\text{unit}_{NM} = \text{dunit} \circ \text{unit}_M \quad (\text{G11})$$

$$\text{map}_{NM} f = \text{dmap} (\text{unit}_M \circ f) \quad (\text{G12})$$

Conversely, for a compound monad NM , when is this construction applicable?

Theorem 4 Assume that NM is a monad. Also assume that rules (G5) and (G9) to (G11) hold. Then the remaining rules among (G1) to (G8) hold.

When is the construction applicable?

How to use Theorem 4? Assume (UC).

$$\text{unit}_{NM} f = \text{unit}_M (\text{unit}_N f) \quad (\text{UC})$$

$$\text{dunit} = \text{map}_M \text{unit}_N \quad (\text{DU})$$

$$\text{ext}_{NM} \text{unit}_M \circ \text{map}_M \text{unit}_N = \text{id} \quad (\text{G5}')$$

If (J1S) and the *pext* construction hold, then *define* functions *dunit*, *dmap* and *djoin* by (DU), (G10) and (G9).

Then (G11) holds by (3M), and (G5) becomes (G5') which holds: the proof uses $\text{ext}_{NM} f = \text{ext}_M(\text{pext } f)$.

So Theorem 4 applies.

On the other hand ...

$$\text{ext}_{NM} (\text{map}_M \text{join}_N) = \text{map}_M \text{join}_N \circ \text{join}_{NM} \quad (\text{J2'})$$

Note (J2') is also of the form of Theorem 1(i).

If N is a monad and M a premonad, and (J2') holds, then $\text{ext}_{NM} \text{unit}_M = \text{map}_M \text{join}_N$ (proved from Theorem 1).

In this case (G5)/(G5') also hold, by a seemingly *different* proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_{NM} \text{unit}_M \circ \text{map}_M \text{unit}_N &= \text{map}_M \text{join}_N \circ \text{map}_M \text{unit}_N \\ &= \text{map}_M (\text{join}_N \circ \text{unit}_N) = \text{map}_M \text{id} = \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

So again Theorem 4 applies.

Common feature: $\text{ext}_{NM} \text{unit}_M$ is of the form $\text{ext}_M f$, so Theorem 1 applies.

When both (J1S) and (J2') hold

If *both* (J1S) and (J2') hold, and *both* M and N are monads, then we have a distributive law for the monads M , N and NM .