

Computation of the essential matrix from 6 points

Richard I. Hartley

GE-CRD, Schenectady, NY

1 Computation of Essential Matrix

It is the present purpose to indicate how the essential matrix, Q , may be computed from a six point matches, provided that it is known that four of the points lie in a plane.

Thus, consider a set of matched points $\mathbf{u}'_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{u}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$ and suppose that the points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$ corresponding to the first four matched points lie in a plane in space. Let this plane be denoted by π . Suppose also that no three of the points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$ are collinear. Suppose further that the points \mathbf{x}_5 and \mathbf{x}_6 do **not** lie in that plane. Various other assumptions will be necessary in order to rule out degenerate cases. These will be noted as they occur.

The essential matrix, Q , satisfies the condition

$$\mathbf{u}'_i Q \mathbf{u}_i = 0 \quad (1)$$

for all i . It will be shown that Q is uniquely determined by the set of six point matches. Further, a method will be given for computing Q . The method is linear and non-iterative. This result is remarkable, since previously known methods have required 8 points for a linear solution ([2]) or 7 points for a solution involving finding the roots of a cubic equation ([1]). In addition, the solution using 7 points leads to three possible solutions, corresponding to the three roots of the cubic. Since Q has 7 degrees of freedom ([1]) it is not possible to compute Q from less than 7 arbitrary points. Therefore it is somewhat surprising that the condition that four of the points are co-planar should mean that a solution from six points is possible and unique.

First it will be shown how the problem of determining the matrix Q may be reduced to the case in which $\mathbf{u}'_i = \mathbf{u}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. From the assumption that points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$ lie in a plane and that no three of them are collinear, it may be deduced that no three of the points $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_4$ are collinear in the first image and that no three of $\mathbf{u}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}'_4$ are collinear in the second image. Given this, it is possible in a straight-forward manner to find a projective transformation, denoted P , such that $\mathbf{u}'_i = P \mathbf{u}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

Denoting $P \mathbf{u}_i$ by the new symbol \mathbf{u}''_i , we see that $\mathbf{u}_i = P^{-1} \mathbf{u}''_i$ and so from (1)

$$0 = \mathbf{u}'_i Q \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}'_i Q P^{-1} \mathbf{u}''_i \quad (2)$$

So, denoting $Q_1 = Q P^{-1}$, the task now becomes that of determining Q_1 such that

$$\mathbf{u}'_i Q_1 \mathbf{u}''_i = 0 \quad (3)$$

for all i . In addition, $\mathbf{u}'_i = \mathbf{u}''_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Once Q_1 has been determined, the original matrix Q may be retrieved using the relationship

$$Q = Q_1 P \quad (4)$$

Therefore, we will assume for now that $\mathbf{u}'_i = \mathbf{u}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. This being so, it is possible to characterize the points that lie in the plane π defined by $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$. A point \mathbf{y} lies in the plane π if and only if it is mapped to the same point in both images.

Now consider any point \mathbf{y} in space, and consider the plane defined by \mathbf{y} and the two camera centres. This plane will meet the plane π in a straight line $\ell(\mathbf{y}) \subset \pi$. The line $\ell(\mathbf{y})$ must pass through the point \mathbf{p} in which the line of the camera centres meets the plane π . This means that for all points \mathbf{y} the lines $\ell(\mathbf{y})$ are concurrent, and meet at the point \mathbf{p} . Now we consider the images of the line $\ell(\mathbf{y})$ and the point \mathbf{p} as seen from the two cameras. Since the line $\ell(\mathbf{y})$ lies in the plane π it must be the same as seen from both the cameras. Let the image of $\ell(\mathbf{y})$ as seen in either image be $L(\mathbf{y})$. If \mathbf{u}_y and \mathbf{u}'_y are the image points at which \mathbf{y} is seen from the two cameras, then both points \mathbf{u}_y and \mathbf{u}'_y must lie on the line $L(\mathbf{y})$. Since the point \mathbf{p} lies in the plane π , it must map to the same point in both images, so $\mathbf{u}_p = \mathbf{u}'_p$ and this point lies on the line $L(\mathbf{y})$. Therefore, \mathbf{u}_y , \mathbf{u}'_y and \mathbf{u}_p are collinear. The point \mathbf{u}_p can be identified as the epipole in the first image, since points \mathbf{p} and the two camera centres are collinear. Similarly, \mathbf{u}'_p is the epipole in the second image.

This discussion may now be applied to the points \mathbf{x}_5 and \mathbf{x}_6 . Since \mathbf{x}_5 and \mathbf{x}_6 do not lie in the plane π it follows that $\mathbf{u}'_5 \neq \mathbf{u}_5$ and $\mathbf{u}'_6 \neq \mathbf{u}_6$. Then the point \mathbf{u}_p may easily be found as the point of intersection of the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}'_5, \mathbf{u}_5 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}'_6, \mathbf{u}_6 \rangle$.

As an aside, the point of intersection of the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}_5, \mathbf{u}_6 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}'_5, \mathbf{u}'_6 \rangle$ is of interest as being the image of the point where the line through $\langle \mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_6 \rangle$ meets the plane π .

The previous discussion indicates how the epipole may be found. This construction will succeed unless the two lines $\langle \mathbf{u}'_5, \mathbf{u}_5 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}'_6, \mathbf{u}_6 \rangle$ are the same. The two lines will be distinct unless the two points \mathbf{x}_5 and \mathbf{x}_6 lie in a common plane with the two camera centres.

Now, if Q is the essential matrix corresponding to the set of matched points, then since \mathbf{u}_p is the epipole in the first image, we have an equation

$$Q\mathbf{u}_p = 0$$

and since $\mathbf{u}'_p = \mathbf{u}_p$ is the epipole in the second image, it follows also that

$$\mathbf{u}_p^\top Q = 0$$

Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, we have $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}'_i$, and so, $\mathbf{u}_i^\top Q\mathbf{u}_i = 0$. For $i = 5, 6$, we have $\mathbf{u}'_i = \mathbf{u}_i + \alpha_i \mathbf{u}_p$. Therefore, $0 = \mathbf{u}'_i{}^\top Q\mathbf{u}_i = (\mathbf{u}_i + \alpha_i \mathbf{u}_p)^\top Q\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i^\top Q\mathbf{u}_i$. So for all $i = 1, \dots, 6$,

$$\mathbf{u}_i^\top Q\mathbf{u}_i = 0 .$$

This should give more than enough equations in general to solve for Q , however, the existence and uniqueness of the solution need to be proven

Now, a new piece of notation will be introduced. For any vector $\mathbf{t} = (t_x, t_y, t_z)^\top$ we define a skew-symmetric matrix, $[\mathbf{t}]_\times$ according to

$$[\mathbf{t}]_\times = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -t_z & t_y \\ t_z & 0 & -t_x \\ -t_y & t_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} . \quad (5)$$

Any 3×3 skew-symmetric matrix can be represented in this way for some vector \mathbf{t} . Matrix $[\mathbf{t}]_\times$ is a singular matrix of rank 2, unless $\mathbf{t} = 0$. Furthermore, the null-space of

$[\mathbf{t}]_{\times}$ is generated by the vector \mathbf{t} . This means that $\mathbf{t}^{\top} [\mathbf{t}]_{\times} = [\mathbf{t}]_{\times} \mathbf{t} = 0$ and that any other vector annihilated by $[\mathbf{t}]_{\times}$ is a scalar multiple of \mathbf{t} .

We now prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the essential matrix.

Lemma 1.1. *Let \mathbf{u}_p be a point in projective 2-space and let $\{\mathbf{u}_i\}$ be a further set of points. If there are at least three distinct lines among the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle$ then there exists a unique matrix Q such that*

$$\mathbf{u}_p^{\top} Q = Q \mathbf{u}_p = 0$$

and for all i

$$\mathbf{u}_i^{\top} Q \mathbf{u}_i = 0$$

Furthermore, Q is skew-symmetric, and hence $Q \approx [\mathbf{u}_p]_{\times}$.

Proof. Let us assume without loss of generality that the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle$ for $i = 1, \dots, 3$ are distinct.

Let P_2 be a non-singular matrix such that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 \mathbf{u}_p &= (0, 0, 1)^{\top} \\ P_2 \mathbf{u}_1 &= (1, 0, 0)^{\top} \\ P_2 \mathbf{u}_2 &= (0, 1, 0)^{\top} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $P_2 \mathbf{u}_3 = (r, s, t)^{\top}$. Since the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle$ are distinct, so must be the lines $\langle P_2 \mathbf{u}_p, P_2 \mathbf{u}_i \rangle$. From this it follows that both r and s are non-zero, for otherwise, the line $\langle P_2 \mathbf{u}_p, P_2 \mathbf{u}_3 \rangle$ must be the same as $\langle P_2 \mathbf{u}_p, P_2 \mathbf{u}_i \rangle$ for $i = 1$ or 2 . Now, define the matrix $Q_2 = P_2^{\top} Q P_2$. Then

$$P_2^{\top} Q_2 (0, 0, 1)^{\top} = P_2^{\top} Q_2 P_2 \mathbf{u}_p = Q \mathbf{u}_p = 0$$

and so

$$Q_2 (0, 0, 1)^{\top} = 0 \tag{6}$$

Similarly,

$$(0, 0, 1) Q_2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

Next,

$$(1, 0, 0) Q_2 (1, 0, 0)^{\top} = \mathbf{u}_1^{\top} P_2^{\top} Q_2 P_2 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1^{\top} Q \mathbf{u}_1 = 0 \tag{8}$$

and similarly,

$$(0, 1, 0) Q_2 (0, 1, 0)^{\top} = 0 \tag{9}$$

and

$$(r, s, t) Q_2 (r, s, t)^{\top} = 0 \tag{10}$$

Now, writing

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & j \end{pmatrix}$$

equation (6) implies $c = f = j = 0$. Equation (7) implies $g = h = j = 0$. Equation (8) implies $a = 0$ and equation (9) implies $e = 0$. Finally, equation (10) implies $rs(b+d) = 0$ and since $rs \neq 0$ this yields $b+d = 0$. So,

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 \\ -b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is skew-symmetric. Therefore, $Q = P_2^{-1\top} Q_2 P_2^{-1}$ is also skew-symmetric.

The first part of the lemma has been proven. Now, since Q is skew-symmetric and $Q\mathbf{u}_p = 0$, it follows that $Q = [\mathbf{u}_p]_{\times}$, as required. This shows uniqueness of the essential matrix Q . To show the existence of a matrix Q satisfying all the conditions of the lemma, it is sufficient to observe that a skew-symmetric matrix Q has the property that $\mathbf{u}_i^{\top} Q \mathbf{u}_i = 0$ for any vector \mathbf{u}_i . \square

This lemma allows us to give an explicit form for the matrix Q expressed in terms of the original matched points.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{\mathbf{u}'_i\} \leftrightarrow \{\mathbf{u}_i\}$ be a set of 6 image correspondences derived from 6 points \mathbf{x}_i in space, and suppose it is known that the points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$ lie in a plane. Let P be a 3×3 matrix such that $\mathbf{u}'_i = P\mathbf{u}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Suppose that the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}'_5, P\mathbf{u}_5 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}'_6, P\mathbf{u}_6 \rangle$ are distinct and let \mathbf{u}_p be their intersection. Suppose further that among the lines $\langle \mathbf{u}'_i, \mathbf{u}_p \rangle$ there are at least three distinct lines. Then there exists a unique essential matrix Q satisfying the point correspondences and the condition of coplanarity of the points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$ and Q is given by the formula*

$$Q = [\mathbf{u}_p]_{\times} P$$

The conditions under which a unique solution exists may be expressed in geometrical terms. Namely :

1. Points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_4$ lie in a plane π , but no three of them are collinear.
2. Points \mathbf{x}_5 and \mathbf{x}_6 do not lie in the plane π , and do not lie in a common plane passing through the two camera centres.
3. The points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_6$ do not all lie in two planes passing through the camera centres.

Under the above conditions, the essential matrix Q is determined uniquely by the set of image correspondences. Note that according to [1], this in turn determines the locations of the points themselves and the cameras up to a projective transformation of 3-space.

2 Why does this work ?

With 8 points or more it is possible to solve for the matrix Q by solving a set of linear equations. If there are fewer than 8 points, the set of linear equations will be under-determined, and hence there will be a family of solutions. It is instructive to consider

how the extra condition that four of the points should be coplanar cuts this family down to a single solution. Let us consider a particular example.

Consider a set of 6 matched points $\mathbf{u}'_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{u}_i$ as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0, 0)^\top &\leftrightarrow (1, 0, 0)^\top \\ (0, 1, 0)^\top &\leftrightarrow (0, 1, 0)^\top \\ (0, 0, 1)^\top &\leftrightarrow (0, 0, 1)^\top \\ (1, 1, 1)^\top &\leftrightarrow (1, 1, 1)^\top \\ (1, 0, 0)^\top &\leftrightarrow (-1, 1, 1)^\top \\ (0, 1, 0)^\top &\leftrightarrow (-1, 1, 1)^\top \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Assume that the first 4 points lie in a plane. From the previous discussion, it is obvious that the epipole is the point $(-1, 1, 1)^\top$, and hence that

$$Q = [(-1, 1, 1)^\top]_\times = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, we will compute Q directly. Each of the six point correspondences gives rise to an equation $\mathbf{u}'_i Q \mathbf{u}_i = 0$ which is linear in the entries of Q . Since there are six equations in nine unknowns, there will be a 3-parameter family of solutions. It is easily verified, therefore, that the general solution is given by

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & -A \\ B & 0 & B \\ C & -C - 2B & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Now, the condition $\det(Q) = 0$ yields an equation $2AB(C + B) = 0$, and hence, either $C = -B$ or $A = 0$ or $B = 0$. Thus, Q has one of the forms

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & -A \\ B & 0 & B \\ -B & -B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & B \\ C & -C - 2B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & -A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C & -C & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

We consider the first one of these solutions Since Q is determined only up to scale, we may choose $B = 1$, and so

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A & -A \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Next, we investigate the condition that the first four matched points lie in a plane. To do this, it is necessary to find a pair of camera matrices that realize (see [1]) the matrix Q . It does not matter which realization of Q is picked, since any other choice will be equivalent to a projective transformation of object space (see [1]), which will take planes to planes. Accordingly, since Q factors as

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -A & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

a realization of Q is given by the two camera matrices

$$M = (I \mid 0) \quad \text{and} \quad M' = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & & & 1 \\ & -A & & A \\ & & -A & A \end{array} \right)$$

Then it is easily verified that the points

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)^\top, \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)^\top, \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)^\top, \quad \mathbf{x}_4 = (1, 1, 1, k)^\top,$$

where k is defined by $1 + k = -A + kA$, are mapped by the two cameras to the required image points as specified by (11). However, the requirement that these four points lie in a plane means that $k = 0$ and hence that $A = -1$. Substituting this value in (14) yields the expected matrix $Q = [(-1, 1, 1)^\top]_\times$. It may be verified that the two other choices for Q given in (13) do not lead to any further solution.

The role of the coplanarity condition now becomes clear. Without this condition, there are a family of solutions for the essential matrix Q . Only one of the family of solutions is consistent with the condition that the four points lie in a plane.

References

- [1] R. Hartley, “*Estimation of Relative Camera Positions for Uncalibrated Cameras*,” Technical Report, GE Corporate R&D, 1 River Road, Schenectady, NY 12301, Oct., 1991.
- [2] Longuet-Higgins, H. C., “A computer algorithm for reconstructing a scene from two projections,” *Nature*, Vol. 293, **10**, Sept. 1981.