Molecule-Induced Conformational Change in Boron Nitride Nanosheets with Enhanced Surface Adsorption
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Surface interaction is extremely important to both fundamental research and practical application. Physisorption can induce shape and structural distortion (i.e., conformational changes) in macromolecular and biomolecular adsorbates, but such phenomena have rarely been observed on adsorbents. Here, it is demonstrated theoretically and experimentally that atomically thin boron nitride (BN) nanosheets as an adsorbent experience conformational changes upon surface adsorption of molecules, increasing adsorption energy and efficiency. The study not only provides new perspectives on the strong adsorption capability of BN nanosheets and many other two-dimensional (2D) nanomaterials but also opens up possibilities for many novel applications. For example, it is demonstrated that BN nanosheets with the same surface area as bulk hexagonal BN particles are more effective in purification and sensing.

1. Introduction

Surface adsorption is a ubiquitous phenomenon vital to many physical, chemical, and biological fields, and is widely used in industry, such as purification, catalysis, sensors, chromatography, cell growth, and drug delivery. Macromolecules and biomolecules, such as proteins, ligands, peptides, and DNA, have been found to have a special adsorption behavior, i.e., distortion of their shapes and structures after physisorbed on a surface. Such a phenomenon is called conformational change. Conformational changes increase adsorption energy and greatly affect the chemical and biological activities of these molecules. However, conformational change has rarely been observed on adsorbents. The relatively large rigidity of all traditional adsorbents, such as activated carbon, porous alumina, and zeolites, prevents them from interfacial interaction-induced deformation.

Boron nitride (BN) nanosheets, atomically thin layers of hexagonal BN (hBN), exhibit excellent surface adsorption of different molecules, and, therefore, are valuable for water cleaning, catalysis, sensing, etc. Detailed studies revealing their unique adsorption behavior and mechanism are highly desirable. In contrast to traditional adsorbents, BN nanosheets have small bending moduli and may experience conformational changes due to...
physisorption of molecules. Such behavior should improve their surface adsorption and hence have implications for the development of novel applications. However, there has been no theoretical or experimental study on molecule-induced conformational changes in BN nanosheets and their effect on their surface adsorption. Furthermore, there lacks a straightforward experimental method to overcome the difficulty of detecting morphological changes at the atomic level after coverage of adsorbates.

Raman spectroscopy is a well-accepted tool to study thickness, crystallinity, doping, strain, and lattice temperature of graphene and BN nanosheets. There has been a large number of experimental studies on the effect of doping on graphene and its Raman spectrum according to previous theoretical and experimental studies. Unlike graphene, physisorbed molecules do not introduce doping to electrically insulating BN nanosheets or affect their Raman spectrum according to previous theoretical and experimental studies. Raman has never been used to detect conformational changes in 2D nanomaterials due to molecule adsorption.

Here, we report that atomically thin BN nanosheets bend or curve to better accommodate two model molecules, i.e., rhodamine 6G (R6G) and 4-mercaptobenzoic acid (4-MBA), and Raman spectroscopy is a straightforward and routine technique to detect such conformational changes. Conformational changes in BN nanosheets lead to stronger interfacial interaction and better surface adsorption capability than bulk crystals. Thus, for the same surface area, atomically thin BN nanosheets are more efficient in adsorbing molecules, and are hence better candidates for water purification, sensing, and many other applications related to surface adsorption. More importantly, such unique surface interaction and adsorption behavior should not be exclusive to BN nanosheets but general to many other 2D nanomaterials.

2. Results and Discussion

First, we carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculations to reveal the interaction between atomically thin BN nanosheets to R6G, a common analyte molecule. Figure 1a,b shows side and top views of a R6G molecule on a flat and free-standing BN nanosheet. R6G preferred the so-called lying-down position with the xanthene ring structure parallel to the BN plane, with a distance of about 0.8 nm. Such physical adsorption involved strong π–π interactions between R6G and BN. Intriguingly, this state was not stable. As shown in Figure 1d,e, the high flexibility of the BN nanosheet made it spontaneously curved or distorted to alleviate the stress caused by the molecule adsorption. The deformation can be better seen from the height mapping in Figure 1f. The corresponding mappings of strain e11, e12, and e22 caused by R6G adsorption are shown in Figure 1g–i, and the average macro strain was −0.16% (compressive). The fixed BN nanosheet (Figure 1a–c) can mimic bulk hBN crystals, which are rigid and nondeformable upon adsorption. Conformational change in an adsorbate results in higher adsorption energy, as does conformational change in an
adsorbent. Thus, atomically thin BN nanosheets should show higher adsorption energy and efficiency than bulk hBN, which is not able to experience conformational change, and such a property is independent of surface area.

Next, we conducted experiments to confirm the theoretical findings. The orientation of R6G adsorbed on BN nanosheets or graphene has never been experimentally analyzed, so angular dependence of near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectroscopy was used to probe the orientation of R6G molecules adsorbed on a monolayer BN. Before the adsorption of R6G, the BN nanosheet was cleaned by annealing in ultrahigh vacuum ($\approx 10^{-10} \text{ mbar}$) at 425°C for 5 h. According to in situ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), the surface of the BN nanosheet was almost free of contamination after annealing (see Figure S2, Supporting Information).

Figure 2a shows the C K-edge NEXAFS spectra of a monolayer of R6G molecules (9 Å thick) adsorbed on the clean BN nanosheet at different X-ray incident angles ($\theta$). The $\pi^*$ resonances of the R6G molecules were highly angularly dependent, indicating that the orientation of the R6G on BN was not random. To determine the exact molecular orientation of the R6G on the BN, six peaks were used to fit the fine structures in the $\pi^*$ region, representing different C 1s-$\pi^*$ transitions in the molecules: 284.7 eV for the C 1s-$\pi^*$ transition of the aromatic carbon bond to iminium groups,[15,17] 287.6 eV for the C 1s-$\pi^*$ transition of the two carbon atoms adjacent to the oxygen atom in the tetrahydropyran ring,[16b] and 288.25 eV for the C 1s-$\pi^*$ transition of the carboxyl group.[16b] The C atoms corresponding to the six transitions are labeled in Figure 2b (C1–C6).

For a threefold substrate symmetry, the intensity of $\pi^*$ resonance ($I$) depends on $\theta$ and $\alpha$:[18]

$$I(\theta, \alpha) = A(\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \alpha)$$

where $\theta$ is the X-ray incident angle; $A$ is a constant; and $\alpha$ is the angle between the $\pi$ vector perpendicular to an aromatic ring and the z-axis (inset in Figure 2a). For C1 and C4, the deduced $\alpha$ was close to 0°, and their intensities showed an excellent linear cosine-squared dependence (Figure 2c), implying the xanthene ring structure in R6G was parallel to the in-plane surface of BN, whose $\alpha \approx 0°$ (Figure 1 and Figure S3).[19] The intensities of C5 slightly deviated from the cosine-squared fitting line at the different angles of incidence, possibly due to disturbance of the $\pi$ orbitals by the oxygen atom in the ring system. In contrast, the intensity of C6 showed no polarization dependence, which is in accord with the rotational and hence randomly oriented C6 atom in the carboxyl structure. Therefore, R6G molecules were lying down on BN nanosheets, as shown in the calculation.
The conformational change in atomically thin BN after the coverage of R6G could not be visualized with atomic force microscopy (AFM), so we propose to use Raman spectroscopy to experimentally detect them. Figure 3a (left) compares the G band (E_{2g} mode) frequency of BN nanosheets suspended over prefabricated holes in a SiO_2/Si substrate before and after the immersion in 10^{-3} M R6G for 60 s, and the inset shows the corresponding optical microscopy image. The Raman spectrum of the as-prepared suspended BN nanosheet showed a G band centered at 1366.6 cm^{-1}, close to the value of bulk hBN crystals (≈1366.4 cm^{-1}). In our previous study,[12c] we showed that atomically thin BN on SiO_2/Si had an upshifted Raman frequency with decreased thickness, which was due to strain caused by the substrate. However, the suspended BN nanosheets were almost free of strain due to the absence of the substrate’s disturbance, which suggests that the intrinsic Raman frequency of atomically thin BN is very close to that of bulk hBN. After R6G adsorption, the G band of the suspended nanosheet upshifted to 1368.3 cm^{-1}. The average frequency increase for nine pieces of suspended BN nanosheets after the R6G adsorption was 1.6 ± 0.6 cm^{-1} (green arrow in Figure 3b). Interestingly, Raman shifts in the opposite direction were observed for BN nanosheets bound to SiO_2/Si after adsorption of R6G (middle of Figure 3a), with an average downshift of 1.3 ± 0.7 cm^{-1} from eight nanosheets (blue arrow in Figure 3b). Note that these Raman shifts are more than one order of magnitude larger than the system error of the Raman measurements (see Figure S4, Supporting Information). In contrast, the G band frequency of bulk hBN crystals did not change before and after adsorption of R6G (right of Figure 3a). The Raman shifts were not caused by water, as in our control experiments, and neither substrate-bound nor suspended BN nanosheets had Raman G band changes after immersion in water (without R6G). Several factors can cause Raman shifts, but it is obvious that crystallinity and temperature factors are irrelevant in the current study. The observed Raman shifts cannot be attributed to doping by the adsorbed R6G molecules either, because unlike graphene, BN nanosheets are insulators and have negligible doping effects after molecule adsorption.[9d,14] As well, doping cannot explain the opposing Raman shifts observed on the suspended and substrate-bound BN nanosheets after adsorption of R6G.

The observed opposite Raman shifts were caused by increased (decreased) compressive strain in the suspended (substrate-bound) BN nanosheets due to adsorption of R6G. A Raman shift derived from biaxial strain change in BN can be estimated by[10c]

$$\Delta \varepsilon = -\Delta \omega_c / \gamma_c \omega_c.$$  

Figure 3. a) Comparison of the Raman spectra of suspended and substrate-bound BN nanosheets as well as bulk hBN before (black) and after (red) adsorption of R6G molecules, with the optical images as insets; b) summary of the average frequency shifts of the Raman G band before (black) and after (red) the adsorption of R6G on suspended (N = 9) and substrate-bound (N = 8) BN nanosheets, with the theoretically predicted strain labeled in gold; diagrams showing strain changes in c) suspended and d) substrate-bound BN nanosheets after adsorption of R6G, and the corresponding Raman shifts.
where $\Delta \omega_G$ is the frequency shift of the Raman G band of BN caused by strain change $\Delta \varepsilon$; $\gamma_G$ is the Grüneisen parameter of hBN (0.89)\textsuperscript{[20]} and $\omega_G^0$ is the G band frequency of unstrained BN. As shown in Figure 3c, the as-prepared suspended BN nanosheets had almost no strain, but the adsorption of R6G made the BN nanosheets deformed and compressively strained. Based on Equation (2) and the theoretically calculated strain ($\sim$0.16% as aforementioned), the adsorption of R6G should upshift the G band of BN nanosheets to 1369.2 cm$^{-1}$, as indicated by the dotted gold line in Figure 3b. On the contrary, the as-prepared substrate-bound BN nanosheets initially had a relatively large compressive strain due to the uneven SiO$_2$ substrate\textsuperscript{[12c,21]} which was larger than the strain induced by the adsorption of R6G (Figure 3d). As the result, the R6G adsorption made the nanosheets less deformed, which reduced their strain and downshifted their Raman band. Such strain change is justified by the height distribution and hence roughness change in BN nanosheets bound to SiO$_2$ and after R6G adsorption (see Figure S5, Supporting Information). Therefore, R6G molecules may have been able to lift and then deform the BN nanosheets by overcoming the substrate adhesion. From an energy point of view, this is plausible. Although the interaction between BN nanosheets and SiO$_2$ substrate has not been measured before, the adhesion energy between graphene and SiO$_2$ was reported to be 0.24–0.31 J m$^{-2}$\textsuperscript{[21]} The adsorption energy between R6G and a BN nanosheet was calculated to be 1.55 eV, i.e., 0.26 J m$^{-2}$, which is in the same range as the substrate-adhesion energy.

Conformational changes in atomically thin BN are not exclusive to the adsorption of R6G; 4-MBA molecules can also deform atomically thin BN nanosheets. According to theoretical calculations, a 4-MBA molecule spontaneously sank into a BN nanosheet from the deformation-free initial state to equilibrium state (Figure 4a–f). The conformational change caused an average strain of $-0.087\%$ in the BN nanosheet, and the strain distributions are shown in Figure 4g–i. Similarly, Raman could be used to detect such conformational changes. As with R6G, opposing Raman shifts were recorded for the suspended and substrate-bound BN after the adsorption of 4-MBA: the suspended BN nanosheets showed an average Raman upshift of 1.2 $\pm$ 0.4 cm$^{-1}$ (green arrow in Figure 5), and the substrate-bound 2 L BN showed an average Raman downshift of 2.9 $\pm$ 0.6 cm$^{-1}$ (blue arrow in Figure 5). The upshifted Raman frequency of the suspended BN nanosheets after adsorption of 4-MBA was due to conformational changes that increased strain in the nanosheets, and substrate-bound nanosheets showed reduced strain and hence downshifted Raman frequency. In both cases, the Raman frequency shifted toward the theoretically calculated value labeled by the dotted line in gold (Figure 5). The adsorption energy for 4-MBA on a deformed BN nanosheet was 0.25 J m$^{-2}$, similar to that of R6G. That is, the surface adsorption of 4-MBA can also lift atomically thin BN nanosheets up from the SiO$_2$/Si substrate. Molecule-induced conformational change is expected to be a phenomenon common to the interaction between molecules.
and other 2D nanomaterials that also have small rigidity or high flexibility.

The special adsorption behavior in atomically thin nanosheets is valuable for many applications. For example, as aforementioned, conformational change can increase the adsorption energy and efficiency of nanosheets; in contrast, bulk crystals are not able to make such changes. In other words, nanosheets should have better surface adsorption than the corresponding bulk materials even with the same surface area. To compare the adsorption capability of atomically thin and bulk BN, we immersed BN nanosheets in an aqueous R6G solution ($10^{-3}$ M) for different lengths of time; the nanosheets were then washed with Milli-Q water to remove nonadsorbed molecules. After immersion for 40 s, 1 L and 2 L BN nanosheets were covered with densely packed islands of adsorbed R6G molecules with a thickness of $\approx 0.8–1.0$ nm (Figure 6a,b). This thickness corresponds to a monolayer of lying-down R6G, consistent with our DFT calculations. After 60 s, the R6G islands converged to form a complete monolayer, on top of which new islands started to form. After 300 s of immersion, relatively larger regions of two to three layers of R6G dominated the surface. Therefore, the physisorption of R6G on atomically thin BN seemed to follow the Stranksi–Krastanov (layer-by-island) growth mode, as illustrated in Figure 6c. Less R6G adsorption occurred on 5 L BN than on 1–3 L BN (see Figure S6, Supporting Information). No complete layer of R6G was found on the surface of bulk hBN crystals even after 300 s of immersion; instead, only discontinuous islands of two-layer-thick R6G were present (Figure 6d). Therefore, the adsorption on bulk hBN followed the Volmer–Weber (island) mode. These results suggest that the adsorption energy of R6G on atomically thin BN was greater than the cohesion energy among R6G molecules, whereas the adsorption energy of R6G on bulk hBN was less than the cohesion energy. That is, the adsorption energy of R6G on atomically thin BN and R6G is larger than that on bulk hBN, in full agreement with the expectation from conformational change. A similar trend in adsorption was obtained from the suspended BN nanosheets (see Figure S7, Supporting Information). The adsorption of 4-MBA on BN nanosheets was also superior to that on bulk hBN, as confirmed by AFM (Figure 7).

To further demonstrate the application of conformational change, BN nanosheets and commercial (bulk) hBN particles of the same surface area were used for water cleaning. The nanosheets had a surface area 18 times larger than the bulk hBN particles (46.2 vs 2.6 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), as measured by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, so the cleaning effect of 3 mg of BN nanosheets toward R6G solution ($10^{-6}$ M) was compared to that of 54 mg of the hBN particles. After immersed in the solution for 5 min, the BN materials were removed by centrifugation, and the amounts of R6G left in the solution were compared. As shown in Figure 8a, BN nanosheets (Cycle 0) were more effective in removing R6G dyes from the solution than the bulk hBN particles, reflected by different color changes. According to the results from UV–vis spectroscopy in Figure 8b, the concentrations of R6G residues in the solution cleaned by BN particles andAdv. Funct. Mater. 2016, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201603160
advancements in thin BN nanosheets led to many novel applications, including more efficient water cleaning and highly sensitive molecule detection.

3. Conclusion

DFT calculations showed conformational changes in atomically thin BN nanosheets after physisorption of molecules such as R6G and 4-MBA, resulting in a greater adsorption energy of the nanosheets. We experimentally detected such conformational changes in both suspended and substrate-bound BN nanosheets using Raman spectroscopy and NEXAFS. Furthermore, we found that atomically thin and bulk BN followed different adsorption modes, suggesting stronger adsorption capability of nanosheets. These results were fully consistent with the presence of conformational change in atomically thin nanosheets predicted by the theoretical calculations. We also demonstrated that the unique adsorption behavior of BN nanosheets led to many novel applications, including more efficient water cleaning and highly sensitive molecule detection.

4. Experimental Section

DFT calculations were carried out using the Vienna ab initio simulation package. The exchange-correlation interaction was described by generalized gradient approximation with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional. The van der Waals interactions were described by a DFT-D3 method with Becke–Johnson damping in all calculations. The lattice constants $a$ and $b$ were free to change during the relaxation, while the lattice constant $c$ was kept to 25 Å. The energy cut-off was set to 500 eV. Only the gamma point was used. The strain was computed based on the definitions of Green-Lagrangian strain.

The BN nanosheets on 90 nm SiO2/Si substrate were mechanically exfoliated from single-crystal hBN by the Scotch tape technique. In detail, the hBN crystals were repeatedly exfoliated on a piece of tape, and the tape with hBN was stuck onto the clean SiO2/Si wafers. The removal of the tape left BN nanosheets on the substrates. Atomically thin BN was located using an Olympus optical microscope BX51, and the thickness was measured by a Cypher AFM in both tapping and contact modes. The average thicknesses for the 1 L, 2 L, and 3 L BN nanosheets were 0.43, 0.89, and 1.26 nm, respectively (Figure S8, Supporting Information). Suspended BN nanosheets were exfoliated on prefabricated 90 nm SiO2/Si substrate with 1.2 μm holes (depth: 2 μm) following the same exfoliation procedure. Raman spectra were taken with a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope equipped with a 514.5 nm laser. A 100x objective lens with a numerical aperture of 0.90 was used. The laser power was 2.5 mW. All Raman spectra were calibrated with the Raman band of Si at 520.5 cm$^{-1}$. Compared with bulk hBN crystals whose Raman G band was at 1366.4 cm$^{-1}$, atomically thin BN on nanosheets were 3.7 × 10$^{-7}$ and 8.9 × 10$^{-8}$ m, respectively. That is, the bulk hBN particles removed $\approx$60% of R6G from the solution, while BN nanosheets with the same surface area adsorbed $\approx$90% of the dye due to the larger adsorption energy thanks to conformational change. These results are consistent with the AFM studies in Figure 6. In addition, the BN nanosheets can be reused without noticeable loss of efficiency. According to our previous study, atomically thin BN nanosheets are much more thermally stable than graphene and can survive $\approx$800 °C in air. Therefore, the adsorbed organic molecules can be eliminated by heat treatment, and the regenerated BN nanosheets can be reused. It can be seen in Figure 8 (Cycles 1 and 2) that the water cleaning performance of the BN nanosheets after two cycles of the reusability test by heating at 400 °C in air for 10 min was on par with the starting material (Cycle 0). This experiment highlights the importance of thickness of a material besides its surface area and chemistry in water cleaning.

The conformational change-induced stronger surface adsorption in BN nanosheets is also highly desirable for sensing. For this application, the BN nanosheets were added to R6G solution (10$^{-7}$ M), and the mixture was dropped on prefabricated substrates for surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS). The SERS substrates were homogeneously covered by plasmonically active silver nanoparticles. BN nanosheets could attract a large number of R6G molecules on their two surfaces and turned red. When deposited on the SERS substrates, these concentrated or enriched molecules on BN nanosheets gave rise to strong Raman signals (black in Figure 9). In contrast, when BN nanosheets were not added, pure R6G solution showed very weak signals (red in Figure 9) because R6G molecules in this case were much diluted. No meaningful signals were detected from the bulk hBN particles after immersion under the same conditions, likely due to their large thickness that blocked Raman scattering.

Figure 8. Photo of initial R6G water solution (10$^{-6}$ M) (far left) and after cleaning by bulk hBN particles (left), BN nanosheets (middle), and reused BN nanosheets for up to two cycles (right and far right); b) UV–vis spectra of the five solutions; c) the estimated concentration of R6G residues in the solution after the cleaning by bulk hBN particles and BN nanosheets after different reusability cycles.
The BN nanosheets were for water cleaning and sensing were synthesized by the chemical blowing method. They were few-layer thick (see Figure S9, Supporting Information). The as-synthesized BN nanosheets faces were supported by IBS-R019-D1. This research was partly undertaken on the soft X-ray beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, Victoria, Australia, and at the Melbourne Centre for Nanofabrication (MCN) in the Victorian Node of the Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF).
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Figure 9. Detection of R6G (10^{-7} M) by adsorption on BN nanosheets (black) and by direct deposition on SERS substrates (red).

SiO2/Si showed upshifted G band frequencies due to the disturbance from the substrate and hence compressive strain in the nanosheets.[15,115]

The BN nanosheets for water cleaning and sensing were synthesized by the chemical blowing method.[24] They were few-layer thick (see Figure S9, Supporting Information). The as-synthesized BN nanosheets (3 mg) and commercial bulk hBN particles (54 mg) were immersed in R6G aqueous solution (50 mL@10^{-6} M) for 5 min. After centrifugation at 14 000 rpm for 10 min to remove BN, the solution was collected for UV–vis spectroscopy. The UV–vis spectra were collected from a Varian Cary 300 spectrometer under double beam mode. The scan rate was 600 nm min^{-1} and the scan step was 1.000 nm. For the reusability test, the BN nanosheets with R6G were heated at 400 °C in Ar to convert the film into BN.[5] They were few-layer thick (see Figure S9, Supporting Information). The as-synthesized BN nanosheets were supported by IBS-R019-D1. This research was partly undertaken on the soft X-ray beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, Victoria, Australia. The BN nanosheets for water cleaning and sensing were synthesized by the chemical blowing method.[24] They were few-layer thick (see Figure S9, Supporting Information). The as-synthesized BN nanosheets were supported by IBS-R019-D1. This research was partly undertaken on the soft X-ray beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, Victoria, Australia.
